

Following are inquiries about key components of institutional work to redesign and implement integrated advising and student support systems that are fully integrated into guided pathways for students.

	CHANGE LEADERSHIP					
				Readiness Scale		
Criteria	Definition	Not Ready		Moderate Readiness	Ready for Redesign	
Communication	 We have a robust and detailed communication plan covering the lifecycle of the rollout and adoption of redesigned advising and student supports, fully integrated into guided pathways for students. We have a plan for identifying and celebrating small, short-term wins to maintain momentum and motivation for the redesign. We routinely use multiple methods for communicating the vision for the redesign. Stakeholders feel informed, confident, and excited about the redesign. Stakeholders understand the need for the redesign, how they will be impacted by it, and how they will benefit from it. 					
Structure	 Our advising and academic supports redesign implementation team comprises representatives from different meta-majors, different functional areas of the college and different hierarchical levels. The implementation team works as an important part of the pathways design/implementation team and has the authority and resources needed to make decisions. Relevant departments have and are aware of clearly defined responsibilities and resources for this redesign. We have a clear and robust training timeline and approach to sustain the rollout of the redesign, and that process is fully integrated with design and implementation of pathways at scale. We have built a continuous improvement structure that monitors implementations issues and addresses them as they are raised. 					

Vision	 We have a clear vision for the redesign. Stakeholders across the institution and at all levels of the institution know, understand, and buy into the vision for the redesign. We have developed and articulated clear goals and outcomes that should be expected from the redesign. The vision and goals for the redesign guide decision-making and are fully integrated with our work on pathways reform. 		
What are the I	ns exist at the institution within this readiness component? barriers to readiness in this component and what opportunities exist at the institut need to be taken and who needs to be involved (and to what extent) to increase ou		

	ADVISING AND STUDENT SUPPORTS ALI	GNME	ENT		
		Readiness Scale			
Criteria	Criteria Definition	Not Ready	Minimal Readiness	Moderate Readiness	
Approach to Advising	 Stakeholders across the institution value the role of advisors in ensuring student success. Career counseling and student career goals are at the core of our advising approach and are developed in the context the institution's identified metamajors and the specific program pathways within them. Information about transfer pathways is provided to all students as they choose a program of study through the advising process. Our advisors (professional and/or faculty) engage in long-term, intrusive (proactive) advising. Advising is embedded within meta-majors (clusters of academic/career pathways). 				

	processes to ensure they connect to those supports. exist at the institution within this readiness component? mriers to readiness in this component and what opportunities exist at the institut	on to ov	vercome the	ese barriers?	
Technology Use	 Our advising approach leverages technology to streamline support to students. We provide a balance of technology-based and face-to-face support to students. We use technology to identify students in need of interventions and have 				
Integration of Student Supports	 virtually) at key points in their educational journey. Student support personnel work with students in a way that builds metacognitive problem-solving skills. There is ongoing collaboration between academic advising, career services, tutoring, financial aid, and other support services such that students are seamlessly connected to each of these services. We connect students with resources available in the community or from the government as appropriate. 				
	 We take a holistic approach to embedding program-appropriate academic and student services supports within guided pathways. Policies are in place to ensure students meet with their advisor (in person or 				

		Readiness Scale				
Criteria	Definition	Not Ready	Minimal Readiness	Moderate Readiness		
IT System Capacity	 Our institution has robust IT staffing that can manage the implementation and end-user training necessary for the redesign. Our institution has the resources necessary for providing robust end-user training and ongoing support. We have the server and network capacity to handle the increased load from new technology or adaptation of existing technology. We have or have budgeted resources for any software and hardware needed to support the redesigned advising and academic supports. We have form strong working relationships with technology vendors so that technology appropriately supports redesigned student experiences. 					
IT Adoption	 We have a high end-user (faculty, advisor, other student support staff, and student) adoption of current technology platforms across the institution. End-users would feel confident in learning a new technology. There is widespread understanding of the utility of technology in addressing issues of student success. We have clearly articulated the roles and responsibilities of faculty and staff in using the technology. 					
IT Compatibility	 We have had successful experience(s) integrating new and existing technology. We are making technology decisions based on student experiences redesigned in accord with institution-wide pathways implementation. Our existing and planned technologies are compatible. Compatibility is a high priority when selecting new technology. The technology selection process includes student, faculty, and staff testers. 					
What are the ba						

		Readiness Scale				
Definition	Not Ready	Minimal Readiness	Moderate Readiness			
 We have strong collaboration between IT and IR for data collection. We have robust IR staffing that has the capacity and skill set to manage a (potentially) high influx of new data from the new technology systems. Our IR department is fully prepared to effectively and creatively use the influx of data from the new technology systems to improve our approach to student success. We have experience collecting a variety of qualitative and quantitative data, including surveys and focus groups. In particular, we are collecting and regularly reviewing data depicting student progress through guided pathways. 						
 Our IR staff understand all data collected by existing technology systems. Our IR staff use a significant portion of the data from existing technology systems. We regularly share and explain data to stakeholders. We use dashboards to visually convey data, including elements that are specifically aligned with the pathways model. 						
 Senior administrators use data regularly in decision-making. Faculty and staff have access to data that impact their work. Faculty and staff are comfortable analyzing and using data to inform their decisions. Data are used proactively to inform comprehensive design and implementation of pathways at scale. 						
	 We have robust IR staffing that has the capacity and skill set to manage a (potentially) high influx of new data from the new technology systems. Our IR department is fully prepared to effectively and creatively use the influx of data from the new technology systems to improve our approach to student success. We have experience collecting a variety of qualitative and quantitative data, including surveys and focus groups. In particular, we are collecting and regularly reviewing data depicting student progress through guided pathways. Our IR staff understand all data collected by existing technology systems. Our IR staff use a significant portion of the data from existing technology systems. We regularly share and explain data to stakeholders. We use dashboards to visually convey data, including elements that are specifically aligned with the pathways model. Senior administrators use data regularly in decision-making. Faculty and staff are comfortable analyzing and using data to inform their decisions. Data are used proactively to inform comprehensive design and implementation 	 We have strong collaboration between IT and IR for data collection. We have robust IR staffing that has the capacity and skill set to manage a (potentially) high influx of new data from the new technology systems. Our IR department is fully prepared to effectively and creatively use the influx of data from the new technology systems to improve our approach to student success. We have experience collecting a variety of qualitative and quantitative data, including surveys and focus groups. In particular, we are collecting and regularly reviewing data depicting student progress through guided pathways. Our IR staff understand all data collected by existing technology systems. Our IR staff use a significant portion of the data from existing technology systems. We regularly share and explain data to stakeholders. We use dashboards to visually convey data, including elements that are specifically aligned with the pathways model. Senior administrators use data regularly in decision-making. Faculty and staff have access to data that impact their work. Faculty and staff are comfortable analyzing and using data to inform their decisions. Data are used proactively to inform comprehensive design and implementation of pathways at scale. 	 We have strong collaboration between IT and IR for data collection. We have robust IR staffing that has the capacity and skill set to manage a (potentially) high influx of new data from the new technology systems. Our IR department is fully prepared to effectively and creatively use the influx of data from the new technology systems to improve our approach to student success. We have experience collecting a variety of qualitative and quantitative data, including surveys and focus groups. In particular, we are collecting and regularly reviewing data depicting student progress through guided pathways. Our IR staff understand all data collected by existing technology systems. Our IR staff use a significant portion of the data from existing technology systems. We regularly share and explain data to stakeholders. We use dashboards to visually convey data, including elements that are specifically aligned with the pathways model. Senior administrators use data regularly in decision-making. Faculty and staff have access to data that impact their work. Faculty and staff are comfortable analyzing and using data to inform their decisions. Data are used proactively to inform comprehensive design and implementation of pathways at scale. 	 We have robust IR staffing that has the capacity and skill set to manage a (potentially) high influx of new data from the new technology systems. Our IR department is fully prepared to effectively and creatively use the influx of data from the new technology systems to improve our approach to student success. We have experience collecting a variety of qualitative and quantitative data, including surveys and focus groups. In particular, we are collecting and regularly reviewing data depicting student progress through guided pathways. Our IR staff understand all data collected by existing technology systems. Our IR staff use a significant portion of the data from existing technology systems. We use dashboards to visually convey data, including elements that are specifically aligned with the pathways model. Senior administrators use data regularly in decision-making. Faculty and staff have access to data that impact their work. Faculty and staff are comfortable analyzing and using data to inform their decisions. Data are used proactively to inform comprehensive design and implementation of pathways at scale. 		

<i>Constant of the second state of the second st</i>		Minimal Readiness	Moderate Readiness	Ready fo Redesig
improved ways of doing their job. All stakeholders understand the need for change and how the redesign of advising and student supports fits within their work on implementing pathways at scale.				
expected as a result of the redesign.				
We have a plan for ongoing support (resources and training) to sustain and scale the adoption of the redesign. We have a plan and budget for incentives to ensure adoption of the redesign among diverse stakeholders. We have a strong evaluation plan for the redesign, including milestones, short- term metrics of adoption, and leading and lagging indicators; evaluation is integrated/aligned with overall evaluation of pathways reform. We have considered how this redesign supports and/or leverages other student				
Administrators recognize that this redesign requires whole systems, structures, and processes to be redesigned. We have committed resources to strengthen functions across the institution to enable institutionalization of the redesign. This redesign is clearly articulated and supported in the institution's strategic plan.				
	We have a plan and budget for incentives to ensure adoption of the redesign among diverse stakeholders. We have a strong evaluation plan for the redesign, including milestones, short- erm metrics of adoption, and leading and lagging indicators; evaluation is integrated/aligned with overall evaluation of pathways reform. We have considered how this redesign supports and/or leverages other student success initiatives being implemented or planned at our institution. Administrators recognize that this redesign requires whole systems, structures, and processes to be redesigned. We have committed resources to strengthen functions across the institution to enable institutionalization of the redesign. This redesign is clearly articulated and supported in the institution's strategic olan. at the institution within this readiness component?	We have a plan and budget for incentives to ensure adoption of the redesign among diverse stakeholders. We have a strong evaluation plan for the redesign, including milestones, short- term metrics of adoption, and leading and lagging indicators; evaluation is integrated/aligned with overall evaluation of pathways reform. We have considered how this redesign supports and/or leverages other student success initiatives being implemented or planned at our institution. Administrators recognize that this redesign requires whole systems, structures, and processes to be redesigned. We have committed resources to strengthen functions across the institution to enable institutionalization of the redesign. This redesign is clearly articulated and supported in the institution's strategic olan. at the institution within this readiness component?	We have a plan and budget for incentives to ensure adoption of the redesign among diverse stakeholders. We have a strong evaluation plan for the redesign, including milestones, short- term metrics of adoption, and leading and lagging indicators; evaluation is integrated/aligned with overall evaluation of pathways reform. We have considered how this redesign supports and/or leverages other student success initiatives being implemented or planned at our institution. Administrators recognize that this redesign requires whole systems, structures, and processes to be redesigned. We have committed resources to strengthen functions across the institution to enable institutionalization of the redesign. This redesign is clearly articulated and supported in the institution's strategic olan.	We have a plan and budget for incentives to ensure adoption of the redesign among diverse stakeholders. We have a strong evaluation plan for the redesign, including milestones, short- erm metrics of adoption, and leading and lagging indicators; evaluation is ntegrated/aligned with overall evaluation of pathways reform. We have considered how this redesign supports and/or leverages other student success initiatives being implemented or planned at our institution. Administrators recognize that this redesign requires whole systems, structures, and processes to be redesigned. We have committed resources to strengthen functions across the institution to enable institutionalization of the redesign. This redesign is clearly articulated and supported in the institution's strategic olan. at the institution within this readiness component?