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INTRODUCTION

An integrated student support approach emphasizes the need for colleges to redefine the way they understand, design, and deliver the services that are critical for the success of every student. The goal of the toolkit is to support institutions in redesigning student supports in an integrated, collaborative, and holistic way that enables students to progress along their educational and career pathways.

The toolkit incorporates lessons from our experience working with colleges to increase student access and success and our innovative funded learning initiatives, particularly the Integrated Planning and Advising for Student Success (iPASS) project. It provides evidence-based, practitioner-tested tools, tips, and guides that help an institution from initial exploration of its needs through to successful evaluation and refinement.

A NOTE ABOUT LANGUAGE AND DEFINITIONS

Higher education is filled with jargon and acronyms that can cause confusion or disengagement. To avoid this, we share some clarification on the language we will use frequently in this toolkit.

**Integrated Student Support Approach (or Student Support Redesign)** refers to a long-term proactive, holistic, and personalized academic and non-academic support experience for every student. Integrating the use of data, technology, and meaningful human interaction, the approach supports broad reforms to structures, processes, and attitudes/values in relation to the student support function of higher education institutions.

While you may have heard acronyms such as iPASS or IPAS, we refer to this approach as an “integrated student support approach” and use “student support redesign” interchangeably as a short-hand description. Whereas some may emphasize “advising redesign,” we use “student support redesign” because it is inclusive of the holistic and comprehensive redesign of the web of services and supports (including but not restricted to advising) around which this approach is focused.

**Student success** means that students have received a personal, rigorous, and enriching learning experience that culminates in the achievement of their academic goals in a timely manner and fully prepares them to realize their career aspirations.

**Student-focused institution** is an institution of higher education that deeply believes in the ability of each and every student it serves to learn and in fulfilling its role to provide the right conditions in which all students can achieve their educational and career goals. Student-focused institutions work tirelessly to ensure no decision, at any level, is made without considering the impact on students.

---
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OVERVIEW OF THE TOOLKIT

This toolkit was designed to support institutions at many different stages of planning or implementing an integrated student support approach. Thus, while the chapters of the toolkit could be used in a chronological order, each chapter can also be read as a stand-alone document for institutions that need support in one particular component of the work. Here is a brief overview:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Value Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER 1: UNDERSTANDING THE INTEGRATED STUDENT SUPPORT APPROACH</td>
<td>Comprehensive overview of an integrated student support approach. Will help orient team members to the work and inform communication to the campus community.</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER 2: BUILDING YOUR GUIDING TEAM</td>
<td>Evidence-based guidance on the structure and composition of the teams that effectively lead and support the reform approach.</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER 3: INTEGRATED STUDENT SUPPORT DISCOVERY PROCESS</td>
<td>Tools and tips to ground the team in a deep, common understanding of the existing advising and student support model. Provides an approach for identifying service gaps and areas of focus for the student support redesign.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER 4: ASSESSING AND IMPROVING READINESS</td>
<td>A discussion and reflection guide that complements any related readiness assessment selected by the team. Includes additional recommended readiness assessments.</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER 5: PLANNING FOR ACTION</td>
<td>Tips, templates, and activities that you can use to structure a planning period that will result in a strong plan of action, guided by your student-focused vision.</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER 6: CRAFTING A COMMUNICATION STRATEGY</td>
<td>Templates and a guide to focus your discussions and prompt consideration of all variables in developing a communication strategy.</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER 7: DEVELOPING A TRAINING &amp; PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY</td>
<td>Tips and templates to guide the creation of a plan for the training and professional development of staff, faculty, and students necessary for the successful adoption of your integrated student support approach.</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER 8: TRACKING PERFORMANCE &amp; IMPACT</td>
<td>Practical worksheets, instructions, and examples to help institutions plan and execute ongoing, effective performance tracking of your integrated student support approach.</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER 9: REFINEMENT</td>
<td>Guidelines, tips, and tools for a strong refinement and scaling discussion.</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPENDICES</td>
<td>Supplemental resources related to facilitation skills and technology implementation.</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HOW TO USE THE TOOLKIT: A TYPICAL REDESIGN JOURNEY

We recommend that the project leader and the guiding team (discussed in Chapter 2) use this toolkit as a step-by-step guide and we encourage you to review the toolkit in its entirety and then plan out the various phases of your work.

For example, you might consider the four key design phases to shape the planning and execution of your student support redesign. Below we identify each phase and their essential components. These components correspond to subsequent chapters in the toolkit but are presented here to highlight recommended action steps in each phase.

**Phase 1: Discovery & Planning**  
*(Corresponding toolkit chapters: 2, 3, 4, 5)*
- Review integrated student support approach material
- Form and bring together initial guiding team
- Work through the Discovery chapter of the toolkit
  - Develop your vision and goals
  - Identify the results to be achieved and the changes in critical behaviors (attitudes, knowledge, and skills) necessary to achieve the desired results (progress tracking)
  - Understand your current student supports landscape
  - Map out your ideal student support experience
- Work through the Readiness chapter
  - Understand strengths you can leverage and areas in which to build capacity
- Begin crafting your Action Plan
- Select your technology vendor and begin procurement process
- Refine guiding team to fit redesign model and implementation needs

**Phase 2: Development & Testing**  
*(Corresponding toolkit chapters: 5, 6, 7, 8)*
- Test your redesign model with stakeholders
- Develop workflow for redesigned system
- Use the changes in critical behaviors developed in phase 1 to:
  - Develop your communication strategy
  - Develop your training plan
- Finalize progress tracking data collection plan
- Begin implementing technology solutions
Phase 3: Deployment & Launch  
(Corresponding toolkit chapters: 6, 7, 8)

- Begin executing your strategy
- Test, communicate, and train
- Do initial data collection and benchmarking
- Launch new technology, policies, and processes
- Continuously track progress across all stakeholders

Phase 4: Refinement & Sustainability  
(Corresponding toolkit chapter: 9)

- Establish cadence for refinement meetings and identify enhancements
- Continuously celebrate short-term successes/progress
- Pursue ongoing training and communication (including of progress)
- Continuously track progress across all stakeholders and make refinements as needed
- Institutionalize the work
- Pursue ongoing evaluation and analysis for continuous improvement and sustainability

A Note About Common Challenges

As simple as the four redesign phases appear, we recognize that this type of holistic institutional change is not quick or easy. Through the iPASS initiative, we have worked with institutions of varying sizes, demographics, organizational structures, labor environments, physical locations, and cultures. Below are some of the biggest challenges the institutions faced that others, who are considering or currently pursuing an integrated student support approach, may encounter. While it may be overwhelming to think about these challenges when you start, we find it’s useful to note some potential challenges early so you can plan ahead. Subsequent chapters address strategies for overcoming these challenges in greater detail.

Engagement & Communication Challenges (Chapters 6 & 7)

- Ensuring early, effective communication of project vision to energize and engage all stakeholders.
- Aligning the multiple student success efforts taking place on campus so they don’t operate independently of each other.

Data & Technology Challenges (Chapters 1, 3, 8)

- Maintaining a strong partnership with technology vendors to limit potential delays in implementation of the technology solution(s).
- Integrating multiple student success technologies, particularly older systems.
- Identifying the most valuable data (leading and lagging) to track progress.
- Understanding what student data each stakeholder group, particularly faculty, advisors, and other student support personnel, needs access to in order to enhance and personalize the way they support students.
- Making data actionable by tying data to interventions and workflow processes.
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Advising Policies & Practices Challenges
(Chapters 2, 7, 9)

• Designing a flexible advising model that meets the diverse needs of all students.
• Providing effective training and ongoing support to personnel assigned to implement the work on the ground.
• Managing the workload associated with technology (i.e., early alert flags) or new requirements (i.e., mandatory advising).
• Scaling the work to reach all students served by the college.

Strategy & Planning Challenges
(Chapters 5, 9)

• Aligning the redesign to the college’s strategic plan.
• Effectively and sustainably allocating limited time and resources.
• Identifying internal financial and personnel resources that can be repurposed to ensure successful execution of a transformative student supports redesign.
• Calculating the financial cost of the redesign and the potential return on investment.

What Next?

Each chapter provides tips (noted by a lightbulb icon), tools, downloadable templates, guidelines, and resources (noted by a thumbtack icon) to promote the infusion of promising practices and plans to surmount common challenges. Throughout, we feature experienced voices from the field to illustrate how institutions have successfully pursued redesign and what they have learned along the way.

4 All editable templates referred to in this toolkit can be downloaded from ATD Connect at connect.achievingthedream.org.
Understanding the Need

We know that college completion is a critical national goal but that completion rates are low, with community college six-year completion rates at 39%, and even lower for low-income students and students of color. Compounding this reality is the fact that the majority of community college students (76%) believe they are on track to complete their academic goals when, in reality, fewer than 2 in every 5 students earn a degree or certificate within six years.

Driven by the belief that every student deserves a high-quality, personalized learning and support experience, community colleges spent the past decade or more reframing their missions and redesigning their services to enable many more students to persist and succeed, particularly those who traditionally have not been well served by America’s higher education system.

Over the past 13 years, Achieving the Dream—as a leader of the national community college reform movement, focused on access and success for all students—has learned and disseminated many lessons. They include research findings that students are most likely to persist and complete when their educational experience enables them to have supportive relationships, clarify aspirations and enhance commitment, make college life feasible, and develop college know-how.

Institutions have begun reemphasizing the vital role of a seamless, personalized support experience for students all along their educational path from entry through completion. Many innovative institutions are working to identify cost-effective solutions to redesign student support that have promising lessons to share with the postsecondary field. Their experience, combined with Achieving the Dream’s work and research, has informed a more integrated approach to supporting students that we put forward as a cost-effective way to significantly and sustainably increase student success. Institutions often know that they need a new approach, but are not sure what it should be. This chapter sets forth principles that redesign efforts can draw on to provide clarity and an underlying research base.

LESSONS FROM THE ACHIEVING THE DREAM NETWORK

- Boutique, isolated interventions that are not connected or scaled do not yield strong results.
- The full student experience from initial connection through to transition to another higher education institution or a career must be redesigned toward the needs of our students.
- In order to close achievement gaps, equity-minded design is essential and must be comprehensive.
- We must connect the student experience more deeply and dynamically within the broader systems of our communities.

6  https://nscresearchcenter.org/wp-content/uploads/SignatureReport12.pdf (Figure 12)
7  http://www.ccsse.org/docs/Underprepared_Student.pdf
9  For our definition of student success, please refer to the Purpose of This Toolkit section.
What Is an Integrated Approach to Student Support?

Students do not exist within a vacuum. They all bring unique educational, career, and personal goals, as well as a social context that affects the conditions they need in order to succeed. An integrated student support approach embraces this diversity of goals, needs, and contexts. It also provides a framework for institutions committed to designing a student-focused culture that delivers the combination of supports each student needs at the time they need them.

Sometimes known as iPASS, integrated planning and advising systems (IPAS), or technology-mediated advising, an integrated student support approach is multifaceted. It ensures that all students have access to long-term, personalized support that meets their individual needs inside and outside the classroom. Integrating the use of data, technology, and meaningful human interaction, the approach supports broad reforms to structures, processes, and attitudes/values in relation to the student support function of higher education institutions.

Driving Toward SSIPP

It is vital for colleges to create an environment in which students receive support services and interactions that are SSIPP:11

- **Sustained**: Students are supported throughout their full journey at an institution, particularly at key momentum points.
- **Strategic**: Time, energy, and resources are more intentionally allocated to provide students only what they need when they need it.
- **Integrated**: Services function as an interconnected set of tools that together create a strong support structure, rather than stand-alone interventions. Full-time and adjunct faculty and staff understand how their role in this support structure ties in with the roles of others. Once-siloed departments collaborate as the norm. Technologies that underpin these services are integrated on the back-end to create a seamless user experience.
- **Proactive**: Institutional policies and practices call for providing interventions and supports at the first sign of trouble, rather than when situations reach crisis mode.
- **Personalized**: All students receive the type and intensity of support appropriate for their unique circumstances and academic and career goals.

Rethinking the What and How of Student Support

Ideally there will emerge a more collaborative culture across departments, as well as among individual full-time and adjunct faculty, who often play official and unofficial roles on behalf of students beyond the classroom. An institution will put high value on the work of all stakeholders who are essential to supporting the whole student. Such positive developments depend on changing the traditional ways of providing four essential kinds of support:

- **Education, career, and financial planning**: Helping students to identify their educational and career goals and to select the programs of study relevant to these goals, the courses that fulfill program requirements, and the financial strategies required.
- **Counseling, advising, and coaching**: Engaging with students in a proactive and personalized way to connect students to on- and off-campus resources.

---
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11 http://ccrc.tc.columbia.edu/media/k2/attachments/what-we-know-about-nonacademic-student-supports.pdf
• **Targeting risk and intervention:** Predicting course failure and program drop-out to enact timely and effective interventions for students before they know they need them.

• **Transfer practices and labor market alignment:** Managing student flow between institutions to maximize credit transfer and degree completion, and ensuring that students receive substantial economic value from their credential through jobs with a family-supporting wage.

An institution must make service-related decisions on how to deliver in these four areas. It might, for example, adopt a case management strategy, create a holistic advising model, utilize predictive analytics, or integrate public benefits and financial coaching. As we’ll see in later chapters, redesigning processes and practices requires attention to what types of services will be delivered and how, as well as to the type of technology and data architecture that will underpin those services.

### EXAMPLES: INTEGRATED STUDENT SUPPORT APPROACH IN ACTION

#### Starting with Education, Career, and Financial Planning

Montgomery County Community College’s vision of an integrated student supports approach is for “every degree-seeking student to create a career, financial, and educational plan.” All students complete a career interest assessment during their first semester, then work with their advisor to refine a career plan and develop an academic plan and a financial plan that will prepare them to achieve their career goals. These aligned plans guide students throughout their chosen education path and enable advisors to support them in a personalized and holistic way in collaboration with faculty and other support staff.

#### Facilitating Transfer and Articulation

Lorain County Community College’s (LCCC) goal is for all students to have an individualized career and academic plan within one of nine program pathways aligned with local labor market needs. Students receive support from a collaborative team of advisors, counselors, success coaches, and faculty mentors who specialize in the student’s chosen program area. A common student data dashboard supports a more personalized support experience and facilitates stronger collaboration within the team. These teams work with on-campus advisors from LCCC’s innovative University Partner program, which enables students to choose one of 40 bachelor and graduate degree programs offered by 12 Ohio universities on the LCCC campus, many at LCCC tuition rates. These programs are mapped to one of LCCC’s nine program pathways for a smooth transfer experience for all students.

#### Moving from Transactional to Intentional Support

The University of Hawai’i (UH) System aims to become comprehensively student-centered and focused. For UH and its seven community colleges, that includes proactively empowering students to successfully complete their academic journey through intentional connections with faculty, student services, and academic support programs, supported by technology. To this end, UH has leveraged STAR, a degree planning and registration system based on guided pathways developed in-house. Using STAR, students see optimal degree pathways and can make informed decisions about which courses they register for and when. With STAR supporting transactional and informational interactions, students are empowered to take charge of their own educational decisions and success. As a result, academic counselors are able to spend more time mentoring students about goals, academic challenges, and steps to success, leading to stronger relationships and a greater connection to the campus. Ultimately, this approach leads to students’ taking fewer off-program courses and graduating faster with fewer excess credits.
The Role of Data and Technology

An integrated student support approach, at scale, can be challenging without the use of technology to help create efficiencies so more time can be spent on personalizing delivery of services to students. By leveraging the power of technology as part of a redesigned advising and support model, institutions use student information and data that a) boost student efficacy and ownership over their college experience, b) empower faculty and staff to access the information and time they need to build strong relationships with students, and c) generate additional data to inform strategic decisions and the refinement of policies and practices (such as student-focused scheduling). Ideally, technology enhances service delivery and frees an advisor’s time by automating routine, one-way information-giving transactions. So personnel can engage in student support relationships that:

a) View student support as a teaching function.
b) Benefit students on a regular basis.
c) Connect them to the information and services they need, when they need them, to keep students on track for graduation.

However, it is important to emphasize that, despite what many believe, a new technology is not the solution or the change; it will not transform the student experience or improve student outcomes. Moreover, technology selection, implementation, and adoption should not be solely the purview of the IT department. The process must be collaborative and come after the institution has established the vision and goals for their ideal student-focused support structure.

EXAMPLE: LEVERAGING TECHNOLOGY FOR TRANSFORMATION

Northeast Wisconsin Technical College (NWTC) sought to integrate different data sources and processes into their new integrated advising model. This new common dataset helped to effectively bridge communication and collaboration among the myriad student support services and faculty mentors. NWTC pulled data from its a) academic planning and early alert systems, b) new intake survey and c) new predictive analytics program.

With that information, the college developed a comprehensive, institution-wide student success matrix. As a result, relationships between faculty and staff became stronger and more collaborative as they leveraged data to identify student needs and targeted resources to achieve the best results for each student. Additionally, easy access to relevant data helped faculty mentors and academic advisors to make more meaningful connections with students.

Redesigning for Transformative Change

Redesigning the student experience through an integrated student support approach requires transformative institutional change, rather than piecemeal enhancements, to significantly and sustainably improve student outcomes. The Community College Research Center (CCRC) notes that such change depends on organizational growth and development that combines new structures, processes, and attitudes. Chapter 8 includes examples of the following.

- **Structural change** occurs when policies, structures, and procedures create a framework for new behaviors that improve the student experiences throughout the institution. Within an integrated student support approach this might yield organizational redesign or policy changes that encourage long-term relationships between students and advisors.

---
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• **Process change** alters how people do their jobs and is transformative when enough individuals change their practices to ensure that large numbers of students encounter new student support interactions. For an integrated student support approach, this might involve a) perceiving advising as a function of teaching and b) drawing on case management principles to support students.

• **Attitudinal change** occurs when individuals understand their work and view work processes in new ways. **Attitudinal change is evident when**

**academic and non-academic supports are naturally and commonly understood to be one interconnected process and essential for the effectiveness of the college’s teaching and learning functions.**

Structural and process changes can sometimes be easier to implement, but attitudinal change has the most profound impact.

The table below shows what these three kinds of change could look like in practice for universal (or low-touch) through intensive (or high-touch) supports.

---

**EXAMPLES OF INTEGRATED STUDENT SUPPORT IN PRACTICE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intensive Supports</th>
<th>Universal Supports</th>
<th>Just-in-Time Supports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provided only to students with the highest need. Usually one-on-one support.</td>
<td>Deemed essential and feasible to provide to all students. Sometimes one-on-one support.</td>
<td>Provided to a subset of students demonstrating a particular need. Often one-on-one support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students receive one-on-one support in their area(s) of need related to housing, public benefits, access, veterans affairs, childcare, emergency aid, in-depth financial education, etc.</td>
<td>All students are supported to some extent in-person in identifying their career goals and mapping an academic plan through to completion of these goals. All students are empowered to conduct routine tasks online.</td>
<td>As students progress along their chosen path and/or their context changes, they proactively receive supports specific to their needs by an individual who knows them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hiring and promotion policies support and value personnel who provide intensive support.</td>
<td>• All campus technologies are integrated to provide one comprehensive view of every student’s progress and context.</td>
<td>• Technology supports the identification of each student’s needs and connects them to appropriate services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Adequate funds are allocated to providing intensive support to all students who need it.</td>
<td>• Technology is employed to empower students to complete routine tasks and access certain services electronically.</td>
<td>• Policies ensure services are delivered proactively, rather than expecting students to use services when needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Technology connects students with public services/benefits.</td>
<td>• Personnel work across functional areas to deliver low-touch services to all students in a case management approach.</td>
<td>• Protocols clearly identify which function is responsible for delivering each support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Professional development equips personnel with the knowledge, skills, and abilities to provide intensive support.</td>
<td>• Technology is used regularly to monitor students’ progress towards their goals.</td>
<td>• Proactive support staff work with students to continue to develop their self-efficacy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Protocols ensure faculty and staff know which supports each student receives and any outcomes.</td>
<td>• Personnel work with students to complete routine tasks and access services electronically.</td>
<td>• Student learning outcomes clearly define the value and purpose of each support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Faculty, staff, and administrators endorse the college’s role in supporting students socially, not just in their academics and career.</td>
<td>• Advisors approach their work as a function of teaching.</td>
<td>• Student support personnel understand their own role as a function of teaching and learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Student-personnel relationships are seen as an essential component of student success.</td>
<td>• Everyone on campus understands their role, and the role of personnel in other functional areas, in ensuring student success.</td>
<td>• Personnel are empowered to continually improve the support services they provide.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

---

---
How Do Guided Pathways and Integrated Student Support Fit Together?

The “guided pathways” concept, gaining traction in higher education, offers a framework for a more cohesive student experience by: (1) clarifying paths to student end goals; (2) helping students choose and enter a pathway; (3) helping students stay on the path; and (4) ensuring that students are learning.

Integrated student support provides the structure and processes necessary for delivering an enhanced student progression along a chosen pathway.

In particular, it operationalizes two critical components of guided pathways: Helping students to choose and enter a program pathway and to stay on the path.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guided Pathways Practices 17</th>
<th>Operationalizing Using Integrated Student Support Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helping Students Choose and Enter a Program Pathway</td>
<td>Helping Students Choose and Enter a Program Pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Help students explore career and college options, choose a program, and develop a program plan early on.</td>
<td>• Engage in career, academic, and financial planning early in the student’s journey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Help students take and pass college-level foundational math and English courses in first year.</td>
<td>• Implement supports and processes to help students succeed in gateway and foundational courses quickly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide intensive support to underprepared students in college-level courses.</td>
<td>• Provide students, faculty, and advisors access to clearly mapped program plans and a strong understanding of the courses and milestones as they build out a full program plan early on.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Work with high schools to help students explore career and college interests.</td>
<td>• Provide students early on with aligned academic and wrap-around supports to ensure seamless and timely completion of gateway and foundational courses.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Keeping Students on Path

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guided Pathways Practices 17</th>
<th>Operationalizing Using Integrated Student Support Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keeping Students on Path</td>
<td>Keeping Students on Path</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Advisors monitor students’ progression in completing their program plan.</td>
<td>• Students, faculty, and staff monitor progress in the student’s plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Advisors and students are alerted when the student deviates from the path.</td>
<td>• Touchpoints with faculty and advisors are built in at clearly defined key milestones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Policies and processes are in place to help students get back on track.</td>
<td>• Students, faculty, and staff are alerted when the student is veering off the path and protocols guiding intervention are clearly established across all support functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assistance is provided to students pursuing limited-access programs to redirect them to a more viable path.</td>
<td>• Staff approach their interactions with students as a function of teaching.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Colleges schedule classes to ensure that students take the courses they need when they need them.</td>
<td>• There is a clear system of communication and delineation of roles between faculty and support staff for monitoring student progression and providing needed supports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Course scheduling incorporates students’ plans and life factors to ensure classes are offered when students need them.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Guided pathways and integrated student support are also aligned around the following underlying principles:

- **Redesign the entire student experience:** An institution must understand, analyze, and rework the student experience from first connection to the college to transition to a career or another educational institution. For student support redesign specifically, this means ensuring that colleges provide comprehensive academic, career, financial, and social supports to all students throughout their journey at the institution.

- **Unify multiple student success initiatives:** All student success efforts must be connected and aligned in vision, practice, and policy. For student support redesign, this is important for many reasons; for example, changes to developmental education design and delivery affects the advice and support required from advisors, tutors, and faculty mentors, and in some cases has consequences for financial aid eligibility.

- **Begin with the students’ end goals in mind:** There must be an emphasis on understanding students’ career and academic goals, and social contexts, to meet their needs. For student supports redesign, this means helping every student identify education and career goals early on—for example, with in-person coaching to interpret the results of career assessment/interest tools. Subsequent supports can then be tailored to those goals and the student’s social context to help them stay on their chosen path.

**EXAMPLE: ALIGNING NATIONAL INITIATIVES FOR MAXIMUM IMPACT**

The Community College of Philadelphia (CCP) is part of the American Association of Community Colleges’ Pathways Project and works with Achieving the Dream on infusing an integrated student supports approach into their redesign efforts. Thereby, CCP is enhancing its academic planning for existing and prospective students as it builds clear program paths. Advisors, counselors, support staff, and students will fully utilize degree audit and academic planning tools to enable development of a prescribed academic plan ensuring that students are enrolled early on in a mandated sequence of courses, and their progress monitored by all parties. A case management approach to advising and risk intervention will also enable the college to more proactively and personally help every student choose, enter, and stay on a pathway to their career goals.

What’s Next?

Now that we have established a foundation for the theory and principles behind an integrated student support approach, the following chapters focus more explicitly on how to execute a redesign, specifically the steps for exploring, planning, implementing, evaluating, and scaling. Chapter 2 begins this analysis with details on how to identify the ideal team for leading the design and implementation.
Pursuing transformative organizational change through an integrated student support approach requires strong cross-functional and cross-hierarchical collaboration, up-front planning, attention to detail, and a deep understanding of the needs, challenges, and desires of diverse stakeholders. A guiding team is critical to change-leadership; it is an empowered, representative group responsible for identifying and implementing the strategic actions essential to an integrated student support approach. While there is no perfect model for a team, there are key considerations to keep in mind.

**Team Structure**

Engaging representatives from all key stakeholder groups helps inform the development of a thoughtful plan comprising details necessary to begin and complete a successful change effort. However, a large core team could bog down and confuse strategy development. We recommend a team of not more than eight (ideally six) people. “At times it may change or expand (depending on the phase of the work) to include sub-groups assigned to specific actions for execution of the strategy. We encourage you to think creatively about how to engage a wide range of stakeholders to contribute to the design and implementation of your strategy, while keeping the core team small.

Nonetheless, the team must represent the main areas related to, or sure to be affected by, the redesign. Collectively, the members should have in-depth knowledge of one or more of the following:

- Current advising and student support processes and policies.
- The need for an integrated advising and student support approach and its impact.
- Integration of new technologies into your institution’s IT landscape.
- The experiences of stakeholders who will be most affected by your redesign.

Or you could structure your team around “functions.” In researching the experiences of colleges in the first round of IPAS grants, CCRC identified three categories of personnel crucial for project success:18

- **Content Masters** contribute technical and process information.
- **Influencers** have earned widespread respect and trust and can help the broader college community understand and feel confident in proposed changes.
- **Key Decision Makers** have the authority to move the project forward.

---

ACHIEVING THE DREAM

26 INSTITUTIONS, MULTIPLE APPROACHES

There are 13 community colleges and 13 four-year institutions working with Achieving the Dream and EDUCAUSE in the iPASS grant initiative. They all took different approaches to developing a guiding team, particularly in the process of selecting leaders:

• Eight institutions appointed a principal investigator to support the project manager. In every case, the principal investigator was from the president’s senior leadership team and was involved in supporting the leadership of the work, but not involved in the day-to-day activities.

• Four institutions appointed two co-leaders, usually a representative from academic affairs and another from student services. However, at one institution, an academic affairs representative joined with the Chief Information Officer.

• It was most common for an individual from academic affairs to be in the position of project lead, usually at the associate dean, dean, associate vice president, or vice president level. This is the breakdown of who led or co-led the project:
  • At 18 institutions, an academic affairs representative.
  • At six institutions, a student affairs/success representative.
  • At five institutions, an advancement/planning representative.
  • At four institutions, an IT director.
  • At three institutions, a representative in another position.

When selecting a leader, each institution should consider the goals of their integrated student supports approach in the context of their current culture and structure. For example, those that planned to first leverage education and career planning, or use a faculty advising model, were more likely to select the project leader from academic affairs. Those that focused on intake and risk intervention were more likely to pull the leader from student services.

It is also essential that institutions consider their institutional culture in determining the representation and leadership of the guiding team. Above all, it is critical to choose leaders who are passionate about the work and influential on campus, no matter their job title.

Asking the following questions can help institutions build a strong team.

• Project Leaders: Do they have the skills, attributes, and passion to bring together the people essential to success?

• Project Leaders: Are they well-respected by all key stakeholders?

• Guiding Team: Are there critical stakeholders who need a prominent seat at the table?

• Guiding Team: What new types of collaboration are you trying to incorporate in your redesign and how can you model them on your team?
Key Stakeholder Considerations

As you think about who needs to be on your guiding team, we encourage you to reflect on what role various positions may play in your student support redesign. Since the goal is to identify a team of no more than eight, you will not be able to include all of these positions at one time. However, there may be phases in the project when one role is more necessary than another or you may find ways to engage all of these roles in different ways (such as through sub-groups).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Role/Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Leader</td>
<td>The leader is most likely to see the full picture of the student support redesign—not only the vision and goals, but also the details often required for internal communications. This person must be empowered to make decisions and move the project forward across the institution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications Expert</td>
<td>This person should be a member of the communications department or a skilled communicator/influencer who has a deep knowledge of all the institution’s student success work and is heavily involved in or leading the communication of that work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT Director or Staff</td>
<td>A representative from the IT department who is heavily involved in the technology component of the redesign work (such as procurement and tech launch) will help the team communicate the benefits of the new technology. This person, who has a sense of areas where people are likely to struggle or need extra time/help adapting, can assist with training plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrator Responsible for Advising</td>
<td>This person is likely to be the most knowledgeable about the current advising processes and policies and will be integral for implementing the redesign—and is uniquely positioned to see and communicate the benefits and challenges to the institution as a whole, as well as to the advisors and students as individuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisor(s)</td>
<td>It is essential to have at least one advisor who will be affected by the redesign on a daily basis. This person will be able to help explain exactly how your redesign will affect advisors and students so that the rest of the team has a stronger grip on those core audiences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty (Full Time)</td>
<td>Having a faculty member present is particularly important for institutions that are asking their faculty to adopt new behaviors or processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty (Part Time)</td>
<td>A student support redesign will likely mean changes that affect both full-time and part-time faculty. One representative from each group would be ideal. If including adjuncts is difficult, you might offer them one-time opportunities, such as a series of focus group sessions, to get their perspectives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

💡 It is a good idea to include additional advisors in a test audience to get well-rounded feedback on your messaging and methods.
If you are part of Achieving the Dream, we strongly encourage you to include your Core Team Leader in your guiding team to help ensure consistency with your other student success efforts and to demonstrate how all your work is part of one cohesive strategy for student success.

If you are not an Achieving the Dream college, consider what other major student success efforts are taking place on your campus and whether one or more leaders of those projects could contribute to your rollout plan and implementation.

Including an institutional researcher will be particularly important as you evaluate the progress towards your change vision and goals. The presence of an IR representative who understands your student support redesign will help the team think of new ways of analyzing and communicating the data to facilitate the rollout, refinement, and sustainability.

Significant changes to student supports inevitably have an impact on the daily work of faculty (full time and adjunct) and staff. Someone to bring this perspective to your planning will help when recruiting new faculty and staff, changing existing job descriptions, dealing with union contractual obligations and discussions, and identifying ways to plan effectively for project and senior leadership transitions.

Student buy-in is essential to successful adoption of the new technology and processes. Engage a group that broadly represents your student population in terms of part-time/full-time status, race/ethnicity, gender, age, family, income, campus, high-school, program of study, enrollment in developmental education/college-ready. That will strengthen your overall rollout and help determine whether targeted communications and training are necessary. Find ways to make student participation ongoing rather than a one-off experience.

Consider which student groups are more likely to use the new technology or system most and those likely to need most support.

Consider ways to include students less actively engaged in the institution. Reaching beyond members of the student government or clubs will enable you to identify new ways to communicate with the students who are usually harder to reach.

**Recommended Activities**

Once the guiding team has been assembled, consider exercises (such as the ones below) to help orient its members to the work ahead. Keep in mind that the value of the activities depends on the enthusiastic engagement of the entire team, as the exercises contain elements that will appear later in the toolkit.

- Consider an activity that forces the group to experience the reality of the student experience of your supports to get at the “why” of the redesign. For example, you could have them try to register, participate in the orientation process, observe the advising waiting room at peak times, etc.
- Ask each member of your team to identify hoped-for accomplishments of the redesign in the first year of implementation, particularly relating to attitudinal change and student outcomes (defined in Chapter 1).
- Ask each person to draft a vision for the student support redesign. What do the visions have in common? How do they differ?
- Have the team members gather to draft a vision and set of goals as a group (recognizing it will change as you move through the exploration, readiness, and planning stages).
VOICE FROM THE FIELD

Queensborough Community College: Representative Leadership Drives Collaboration

Queensborough Community College (QCC) wanted to integrate its redesign effort fully with its Queensborough Academies (or guided pathways). So the college convened a guiding team that represented the core groups/offices on campus:

- Faculty concerns are represented and addressed by presence of the Dean of Faculty/Interim Provost.
- The Associate Dean of Enrollment Management represented the needs of Student Affairs and shared detailed knowledge of student onboarding, advising, and communications.
- The Academic Affairs Specialist served as coordinator.
- The Director of Institutional Research contributed expertise in data analysis to inform their decisions.
- The engagement of the Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer demonstrated the significance of this work to the college and brought decision-making authority.

This diversity of roles, experience, and expertise has been a critical success factor in the redesign. In particular, two team members have stood out as particularly important, though comparable job titles/roles are often overlooked when other colleges assemble teams:

“Having representation from the Office of Institutional Research means we are always focused on being data-driven — we are able to utilize existing data and build in ways of collecting/analyzing data for future needs as well.”

“The deep commitment of the Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer is absolutely vital to our success. The presence of the SVP at team meetings means we have buy-in at the absolute highest level of the campus, and also that we have inter-departmental, cross-campus strategic thinking and knowledge.”

Broadening Engagement Through Working Groups

To support continued momentum, QCC’s guiding team set up a standing one-hour weekly meeting that flexes based on the ebb and flow of the work. During peak planning and implementation times, the meeting can last five or 10 hours. For a few key months, the team has held weekly two-hour meetings to engage a broader group of stakeholders and to present technology demonstrations.

QCC also recognized that, during different phases of the process, other stakeholders should join the team temporarily. At one point or another, the Dean for Institutional Assessment, various IT staff, faculty fellows, and other deans and vice presidents, have done so. Special “working groups” are convened occasionally to represent even more of the community: administrators from the bursar’s and the registrar’s offices, and a wide array of others, such as program and support services, financial aid, and student advising.

Complementary Roles of Leadership Team and Working Groups

The guiding team focuses on the big picture: articulating the vision, guiding the strategy of the redesign, and sharing updates with the college leadership and beyond. On an as-relevant basis, the working groups have provided new perspectives, secured buy-in from different constituencies, developed an even richer picture of support services and the changes and resources needed, and distributed the workload. The ultimate lesson: Significant change requires significant investment of time and resources, as well as a diverse, dedicated, and representative group of stakeholders with strong core leadership.
INTEGRATED STUDENT SUPPORT DISCOVERY PROCESS

Engaging in a deep discovery process is a key component of successful planning and adoption of an integrated student support approach. It’s important for the guiding team to have a common and comprehensive understanding of what problem is being addressed and to have a clear map of the status quo. A discovery process is a systematic way of documenting what currently happens on campus and will help uncover focus areas for your redesign work and assist with clarifying the vision for the changes you are undertaking (Chapter 5).

This chapter provides a process and template designed to facilitate a discovery reflection for the team and is intended to be complementary to readiness discussions (Chapter 4). Consisting of two parts—data collection/exploration and a student experience inventory—the discovery process should:

- Structure your reflection on and assessment of the current support experience of your students.
- Identify gaps, challenges, and trouble spots to address.
- Ensure all team members and stakeholders share an understanding of the current landscape.

Note: Completion of the discovery inventory will likely require the participation/collaboration of several departments that may not be represented on your team.

PART ONE: Data Collection and Exploration

Collecting Data

Before you begin thinking about your ideal support model, it’s essential to review available quantitative and qualitative data to provide a common starting point for discussion of the current model’s impact on students. This allows the guiding team to better understand the challenges of the current student support approach and the needs of the students. Here are questions to answer:

- Who are your students?
  - Consider age, gender, race/ethnicity, location, and other demographic factors.
  - Does the existing model of support address various student backgrounds or just one?
- What are your students’ goals in the academic, career, and personal realms?
- Do your structures and processes support the achievement of those goals?
- What experiences/responsibilities/pressures shape your students in terms of family, finances, employment, culture, and language?
- What holistic support services exist and how do students find out about or access them?
- What challenges/obstacles do your students face on the path to attaining their goals?
- Considering as many support services as possible, to what extent do students use them?
- Who uses which supports the most and for what reasons?
- Examine existing data—and, if necessary, gather more—to find root causes.
- What impact do your services, processes, and policies have on student experiences and outcomes?
INTEGRATED STUDENT SUPPORT REDESIGN

PART TWO: Student Experience Discovery Inventory

The second part of the discovery process is a collaborative, open discussion. The guiding team examines the design of the student experience—from intake through advising and student supports—to identify critical pain points and explore ways to address them. This inventory helps examine the current support approach for major structural, process, and cultural components in need of remedy to craft the ideal redesign.

Understanding the Student Experience

Draw on demographic information to create student personas to explore how students move through your institution and experience support services. Use ATD’s Finish Line Game, a student experience simulation, to support your exploration (visit www.achievingthedream.org/finishlinegame or email Julia Lawton at jlawton@achievingthedream.org).

Focusing on the experiences of individual students as they move through the institution may reveal issues you would not otherwise have considered. It also involves people not on the guiding team in understanding the “why” of the redesign and contributes to brainstorming sessions on innovative possibilities for your new integrated student support approach.

Remember the five design principles from Chapter 1, that the ideal design is sustained, strategic, integrated, proactive, and personalized.

All editable templates referred to in this toolkit can be downloaded from ATD Connect at connect.achievingthedream.org.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADMISSIONS AND ENTRY</th>
<th>Current Design</th>
<th>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</th>
<th>What is the ideal design? What additional information do you need to answer this?</th>
<th>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Admissions Documents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) What admissions documents must a student complete before registering for classes?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) What can be submitted online vs. what must be submitted in person?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Who is responsible for obtaining these documents from the student?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Is an intake survey used to gather contextual information about each student?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) If so, how is this information used to connect students to support services prior to starting class?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ADMISSIONS AND ENTRY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use of Registration Holds</th>
<th>Current Design</th>
<th>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</th>
<th>What is the ideal design? What additional information do you need to answer this?</th>
<th>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a)</strong> Are there additional holds (other than documentation) on students’ profiles that may prevent them from registering?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b)</strong> If so, who is responsible for removing those holds, and is this process automatic or manual?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c)</strong> How do students know their holds have been removed?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Admissions Communications</strong></td>
<td>Current Design</td>
<td>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</td>
<td>What is the ideal design? What additional information do you need to answer this?</td>
<td>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>a)</strong> When does a student start receiving communication from the college through their school account?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b)</strong> Is a student alerted to only use the institution email account moving forward?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c)</strong> How are communications sent to a student? For example: email, phone calls, text message.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>d)</strong> During the admissions process, how many communications total does a student receive (all departments)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORIENTATION Policies</td>
<td>Current Design</td>
<td>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</td>
<td>What is the ideal design? What additional information do you need to answer this?</td>
<td>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Are all students required to attend an orientation?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) If not, who is required to do so and how did the institution determine which populations needed orientation?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attending Orientation</th>
<th>Current Design</th>
<th>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</th>
<th>What is the ideal design? What additional information do you need to answer this?</th>
<th>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) What steps must a student take to be able to attend orientation? How does a student register for orientation?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) How often is orientation held (including times) and how do students learn about the available orientation sessions?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orientation Goals and Topics</th>
<th>Current Design</th>
<th>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</th>
<th>What is the ideal design? What additional information do you need to answer this?</th>
<th>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Are there student learning outcomes for orientation?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) List the specific topics that are covered during orientation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) How is orientation evaluated for effectiveness?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orientation Delivery</th>
<th>Current Design</th>
<th>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</th>
<th>What is the ideal design? What additional information do you need to answer this?</th>
<th>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) How is new student orientation delivered?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Is it a one-off event or a series of in-person and virtual interactions/nudges?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Who besides orientation staff attend or participate in orientation (faculty, staff, administrators)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Advising and Planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advising Structure</th>
<th>Current Design</th>
<th>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</th>
<th>What is the ideal design? What additional information do you need to answer this?</th>
<th>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advising Structure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Do you have faculty advisors, professional advisors, or a hybrid model?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Do you have full-time advisors?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Is advising centralized or decentralized by campus/department?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Is one person ultimately responsible for advising?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Does this individual have sufficient time to support and oversee advisors?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Does this individual have sufficient authority to ensure advising quality and consistency?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment of Advisors</th>
<th>Current Design</th>
<th>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</th>
<th>What is the ideal design? What additional information do you need to answer this?</th>
<th>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assignment of Advisors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Does every student have an assigned advisor?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) If so, how and when are students assigned an advisor?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) How are students introduced to their advisors?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Does a student have a specific advisor for the entire academic journey?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) If not, at what point does the student move from one assigned advisor to another?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) What does this hand-off process look like?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Can students walk in without an appointment to see an advisor? Do you use a kiosk or sign-in system?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) What is your student to advisor ratio?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advising Policies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>a)</strong> Are students required to meet with an advisor prior to orientation, registration, and/or program placement? If so, explain the process.</td>
<td>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</td>
<td>What is the ideal design? What additional information do you need to answer this?</td>
<td>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b)</strong> What policies are in place to encourage or require students to see their advisors after the initial visit?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Use of Advising</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a)</strong> Where is information related to advising available to students?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b)</strong> What evidence indicates this information is easy to access?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c)</strong> Are students required to make an appointment to meet with their advisor?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>d)</strong> If so, how far in advance do they need to make the appointment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>e)</strong> Is technology used to empower students to complete routine tasks or access basic services?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Advising and Planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Design</th>
<th>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</th>
<th>What is the ideal design? What additional information do you need to answer this?</th>
<th>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Advising Sessions

1. **a)** Roughly how often does an advisor see a student each term?
2. **b)** How often does an advisor proactively contact each student to schedule an advising appointment?
3. **c)** What is the average length of the advising appointment?
4. **d)** What are the top three areas advisors focus their sessions on? For example, career planning, academic planning, financial literacy and planning, identifying unique barriers to success.
5. **e)** Are there any student surveys or assessments that advisors use when working with students? If so, please list.

### Advising for Student Groups

1. **a)** Are any groups of students advised differently? For example, STEM students, Pell recipients, certificate seekers, honor students, student athletes, first-generation students, online students, or undecided students. If so, briefly describe the main differences.
2. **b)** Are part-time students’ advising experiences different from those of full-time students? What evidence supports your response?
3. **c)** How is advising of noncredit students different in design or process? Does noncredit advising include an emphasis on transition to degree programs?
## ADVISING AND PLANNING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Design</th>
<th>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</th>
<th>What is the ideal design? What additional information do you need to answer this?</th>
<th>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Academic and Career Planning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>a)</strong> Do advisors build/use degree plans for every student they advise?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b)</strong> Do students, faculty, and advisors have the ability to easily monitor a student’s progression through a degree program?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c)</strong> Do the degree plans automatically update as the student’s path changes?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>d)</strong> Are students supported in developing a personalized career plan? If so, how?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>e)</strong> Does this career plan align with the student’s academic plan?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>f)</strong> In what order are the career plan and academic plan developed?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ADVISING AND PLANNING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition and Roles</th>
<th>Current Design</th>
<th>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</th>
<th>What is the ideal design?</th>
<th>What additional information do you need to answer this?</th>
<th>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Does your institution have a common definition of advising? If so, please list the parts of the current definition.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Based on your definition of advising, what skill sets are required for advisors to be successful?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Are the roles and responsibilities of advisors clearly defined and differentiated from the roles of other support professionals, such as counselors?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Are student learning, retention, and success included in the role description of advisors and other support professionals?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Do you have advising learning outcomes and/or syllabus for students? If so, are these academic-related or do they include non-cognitive skill building and career development?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) How do you evaluate advising?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Is someone at the college in charge of the coordination and evaluation of, and the reporting on, all advising services?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Advising and Planning

### Current Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</th>
<th>What is the ideal design? What additional information do you need to answer this?</th>
<th>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Training and Collaboration

| a) Is there a training or professional development program for advisors at your college? |
| b) Is your professional development for advisors optional/mandatory? Ongoing or one-off? Scheduled and in-person and/or available on demand? |
| c) How do advisors communicate/collaborate with other student service offices or with the academic divisions? For example, when referrals for academic or personal support services are necessary, or when multiple campus locations are involved. |
| d) Is there a common student folder or communication tool through which to read advisor notes? Is it utilized by the entire college? |

### Challenges

<p>| a) What are the two biggest challenges students report experiencing with advising supports? |
| b) What are the two biggest challenges for advisors? |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Supports</th>
<th>Current Design</th>
<th>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</th>
<th>What is the ideal design?</th>
<th>What additional information do you need to answer this?</th>
<th>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a)</strong> Briefly describe how tutoring works at your institution. How do students get connected or referred to tutors?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b)</strong> How do you incorporate library services in coursework and student support services?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial Security</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>a)</strong> What supports does the college provide to students with financial security challenges? For example, tax preparation, public benefits, housing support, emergency loans, food pantry, clothing closet, transportation, childcare.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b)</strong> Are these services provided by the college or through external partnerships?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c)</strong> How are students made aware of and connected to the supports listed above?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>d)</strong> Does the college have a system (protocols and/or technology) in place to proactively identify students who need these services and track their use?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>e)</strong> What percentage of students who require these supports actually receive them? For example, are these services delivered at scale?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>f)</strong> Are these services provided on campus? If not, how does the college ensure that students receive the support they are referred to?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Supports</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>a)</strong> What other service/academic departments do you consider critical to your work in student success?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b)</strong> What structures promote collaboration across departments?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## INTEGRATION OF STUDENT SUPPORTS AND SUCCESS STRATEGIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connection to Supports</th>
<th>Current Design</th>
<th>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</th>
<th>What is the ideal design? What additional information do you need to answer this?</th>
<th>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a)</strong> Are campus-based supports located in one place, or hub, or do students have to go to different offices for different questions/services?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b)</strong> How do students get connected to the following supports: counseling, tutoring, library services, career planning and preparation, financial aid?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c)</strong> Are students and support staff/advisors alerted when students are at risk of falling off their program path? Are policies in place to intervene to help the student get back on track?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>d)</strong> How are students “handed off” between departments? What technology or referral process is used?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>e)</strong> Are all front-line staff trained to know whom to refer students to for different issues? If so, how are they trained?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>f)</strong> Are all faculty (including adjunct) aware of the range of supports provided by your institution and how to connect students with them? If so, how are they trained?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>g)</strong> Is this training ongoing? What delivery method is used?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Scheduling

<p>| <strong>a)</strong> To what extent can students get the courses they need, conflict free, at registration? |
| <strong>b)</strong> How are students’ course needs assessed? |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CURRENT DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>WHAT ARE THE ISSUES FOR STUDENTS, STAFF, OR FACULTY WITH THE CURRENT DESIGN?</th>
<th>WHAT IS THE IDEAL DESIGN?</th>
<th>WHAT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION DO YOU NEED TO ANSWER THIS?</th>
<th>WHAT CHANGES OR NEXT STEPS ARE NEEDED TO MOVE TOWARD THE IDEAL DESIGN?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student-Level Data</td>
<td>a) How are student-level data collected and shared with faculty and staff?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) Do you currently have reporting dashboards that are widely used in decision-making?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) Do advisors and other student support staff use student-level data on a daily basis to inform their work with students?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d) Does Institutional Research (IR) regularly meet with or train college staff and faculty to discuss and explain the nuances of this data, and to answer questions?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Data</td>
<td>a) How do you evaluate the impact and effectiveness of your student supports? How often does this occur?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) How do you analyze and use data to inform policy and process decisions related to student supports?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) Who is involved in making those decisions?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d) How is IR involved in the evaluation process?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e) Are you using or planning to use predictive analytics in student success efforts? If so, briefly describe.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f) If you are currently using predictive analytics in student success efforts, how long have you been doing so?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### USE OF DATA AND ADOPTION OF TECHNOLOGY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Design</th>
<th>What are the issues for students, staff, or faculty with the current design?</th>
<th>What is the ideal design? What additional information do you need to answer this?</th>
<th>What changes or next steps are needed to move toward the ideal design?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technology Use</td>
<td>a) How does the college currently use technology to support advising and student support delivery?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) To what extent are these technologies used by the target end user in the intended manner?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) To what extent are these technologies integrated with one another?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d) Are there any functionalities available to you with your existing technologies that you are not currently using? If so, why are they not being used?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VOICE FROM THE FIELD

Trident Technical College: Understanding Where We Are, to Decide Where to Go

Trident Technical College (TTC) brought together representatives from faculty, student services, tutoring, and IR to complete the discovery activity at the start of their student support redesign. While the process was driven by the project leader, Laurie Fladd, Associate Dean of Science and Math, each individual found they learned a lot about processes they had not been aware of in other departments. In the words of Dr. Fladd, “any opportunity to break down siloes and work across the college in the best interest of the students is not only a major win for the students but for the College as well.”

Once completed, the discovery activity buttressed their work with their Achieving the Dream Coach iPASS grant coach. It also was a key input for solidifying their vision of the student experience—a vision that would guide the team and be the centerpiece of its communication strategy. Reflecting on their experience, Dr. Fladd noted that “the questions about planned changes in the discovery activity helped us work out many of the details of our vision and how we wanted advising to look after our changes.”

As a result of the prompts in the discovery activity, TTC identified aspects of their student supports approach that they wanted to change, both immediately and in an ongoing reassessment. For example, the activity led to protracted discussion about how to encourage the students to see their Navigators, who provide support in students’ first semester at the college, and to use the new system as they begin their journey at TTC. The team also identified maintaining a manageable caseload for their Navigators as a priority to bolster the relationship building that is vital to their success. The team monitors both of these as it scales the new model to more students.

Finally, the team recognized the need for thorough advance preparation. Dr. Fladd said, “Activities like this one really help you to stretch the outer corners of your vision. They always say that the ‘devil is in the details’ and answering detailed, probing questions forced us to really talk about what we wanted advising to look like both inside and outside the Hub.”

TTC’s Recommendations for Colleges Embarking on Student Supports Redesign

1. Return to your discovery activity each year and compare where you are to the baseline established at the start of your project.

2. Combine this with process mapping the student experience of entering and moving through your college, particularly its support services. “Only by understanding where you currently stand can you make improvements for the future,” according to Dr. Fladd.
**Supplemental Tool: Technology Inventory**

The table below may be useful in identifying current or planned technologies that could strengthen your integrated student support approach.

All editable templates referred to in this toolkit can be downloaded from ATD Connect at connect.achievingthedream.org.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TECHNOLOGY</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Technology Solution(s)</th>
<th>Phase of Adoption</th>
<th>Extent of Integration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Information System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Relationship Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Management System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Alert System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree Planning System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career Exploration and/or Planning Tool(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Planning/ Management Tool(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Portal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Warehouse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predictive Analytics System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dashboards/Progress Monitoring Tool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Visualization Tool(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Tutoring Tool(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coaching &amp; Advising Tool(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Connection Tool(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Relevant Technologies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*May take the form of social networks, portals, campus forums, individualized webpages, text messages, or emails.*
Chapter 4

Assessing and Improving Readiness

As introduced in Chapter 1, an integrated student support approach requires more than the implementation of technology or of a stand-alone initiative. It involves the adoption of holistic, transformative institutional change, which can be challenging and complex. There are certain institutional conditions that make successful implementation of the changes more likely. If these conditions are lacking in some way, colleges may want to improve them before embarking on large-scale redesign. Assessing institutional readiness can reveal potential challenges early so the guiding team can surmount them.

Existing self-assessment tools include Achieving the Dream’s Institutional Capacity Assessment Tool (ICAT), CCRC’s Pathways Scale to Adoption Self-Assessment, CCRC and Tyton Partners’ Advising Rubric Self-Assessment, and CCRC’s Readiness for Technology Adoption Self-Assessment, which was adapted by Achieving the Dream. Your institution’s choice will depend on the emphasis of your redesign and its alignment with other reform efforts. We encourage you to contact Achieving the Dream staff to discuss the options and get connected to the various readiness tools.

No matter which assessment you choose, make sure to fully utilize the results in your discovery and planning process. Ideally, engaging with a readiness assessment should:

• Encourage cross-functional and cross-hierarchical conversations across the institution.
• Identify and prioritize any necessary additional steps before implementing your redesign strategy.
• Assist with evaluating indicators for transformative reform.
• Provide a foundational understanding of the important principles that will undergird your redesign.

Completing the Assessment

Complete the assessment individually. In addition to having the guiding team complete the assessment, you may wish to broaden the group to include additional administrators, faculty, and staff to gather richer data. You may want more than just the guiding team to take the assessment. Collect and aggregate the responses and share with the guiding team to review prior to the readiness discussion. We also encourage you to share other documentation with the team that may have been created previously, such as a draft vision and goals, to help individuals prepare to engage fully in the meeting.

Once you have completed an assessment, we recommend the following four steps to interpret and use your results for an effective planning process.

Step One

Bring together your guiding team (see Chapter 2), a small cross-functional and cross-hierarchical group of internal stakeholders, to reflect on and discuss the readiness results. We also encourage you to identify an experienced facilitator who is not too close to your redesign efforts for the conversation. This is critical because the effectiveness of your readiness assessment results is in the deep, honest discussion that occurs (see Appendix A: Tips for Facilitators). We also recommend that you identify one person to serve as the “recorder” of the conversation.

19 http://www.achievingthedream.org/about-us/our-approach
20 Contact Mei-Yen Ireland, Director of Integrated Student Support Strategies, at mireland@achievingthedream.org
**Step Two**

During the meeting, use the following questions to guide your discussions:

1. What surprised you about your readiness results?

2. For each of the assessment components/categories on which the institution did not score high, what barriers can be overcome, and what opportunities could be pursued, to increase your readiness?
   a) Who needs to be involved to increase readiness?
   b) What resources are needed?

3. For any component/category on which the institution scored high, list potential challenges to sustaining the high score through your integrated student support redesign.

4. Individually, identify two key themes or insights that you see as being the most essential takeaways from your institution’s readiness results. Each team member should then share two insights to generate a list of essential takeaways that speak to a variety of stakeholders.

5. Reviewing each of these takeaways, what are its implications for the team’s next steps and your redesign?

6. Below are components of a successful integrated student support approach. The list, a supplement to the assessment results, will help the team reach consensus on five top priorities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policies &amp; Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The common understanding is that institution-wide policies and practices should ensure proactive, holistic, and sustained advising and student supports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We are prepared to change existing systems, structures, workflows, and processes to remove obstacles to, and provide additional supports for, student progress and completion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We are committed to a holistic approach to embedding academic and non-academic student supports within the student experience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our redesign leverages technology to streamline support to students.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data &amp; Technology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We are prepared to successfully integrate new and existing technology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We have robust IR staffing that has the capacity and skill set to manage a (potentially) high influx of new data from the new technology systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR and IT staff have a history of collaboration to optimize processes for data use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data are readily accessible to faculty (full time and adjunct), advisors, and other student support staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional development is provided to faculty (full time and adjunct) and staff to increase their ability to use data in decision-making.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisors, faculty (full time and adjunct), and other student support staff use data proactively to determine which interventions/services to provide to specific students.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leadership &amp; Vision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The vision and goals for the redesign guide decision-making and are fully integrated with our pathways reform or other student success reform.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strategy & Planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>We have built a continuous improvement structure that monitors implementations issues and addresses them as they arise.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We have considered how this redesign supports and/or leverages other student success initiatives (guided pathways, developmental education redesign, etc.) being implemented or planned at our institution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We have committed resources to strengthen functions across the institution to institutionalize the redesign.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Engagement & Communication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>We have clearly communicated to faculty (full time and adjunct), advisors, and other student support staff the need for the redesign, how they will be affected by it, and how it will benefit them.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All stakeholders understand the need for change and how the redesign of advising and student supports fits within their work on implementing the redesign at scale.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The guiding team comprises cross-functional and cross-hierarchical representatives from across campus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant departments have, and are aware of, clearly defined responsibilities and resources for the redesign.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Step Three

Compile a list of important discussion points to guide the team meeting on the next step in the planning process: Action plan development (Chapter 5). If the institution fared poorly across the aggregated assessment results and in your readiness discussion, consider sharing results and recommendations with the institution’s leadership team to get feedback before proceeding further with the redesign.

### A Note on Technology Readiness

As emphasized in Chapter 1, an integrated student support approach should reflect a vision of a holistic student experience. That is, the change vision should drive technology-related decisions, not the other way around.

Technology is a key part of an integrated student support approach. It can facilitate a redesign that utilizes efficiencies, data, and communication to ensure institutions are providing a sustained, proactive, and personalized approach to student success. CCRC found that, for technologies to fulfill their potential in this effort, technologies must help restructure the student experience and be easy for end-users to incorporate into daily routines.\(^1\) Focusing on adoption by end-users and integration into the institution’s processes and structures is vital, rather than concentrating only on technological implementation.\(^2\) Technological readiness is important in preparing for the redesign but not sufficient. The assessments we suggest at the beginning of this chapter (including CCRC’s Readiness for Technology Adoption Self-Assessment\(^3\)) emphasize the cultural, motivational, organizational, and other preconditions for adoption.

During the planning phase, you may need to make important decisions about technology procurement. Discuss and analyze how technology could help realize your vision; identify crucial considerations or potential barriers. We provide checklists for three common technologies utilized in student support redesign to help enrich your planning and procurement discussions: early alert (Appendix B), degree planning (Appendix C), and predictive analytics (Appendix D).

---

\(^1\) [http://ccrc.tc.columbia.edu/media/k2/attachments/evaluating-your-colleges-readiness-for-technology-adoption.pdf](http://ccrc.tc.columbia.edu/media/k2/attachments/evaluating-your-colleges-readiness-for-technology-adoption.pdf)


\(^3\) [http://ccrc.tc.columbia.edu/media/k2/attachments/evaluating-your-colleges-readiness-for-technology-adoption.pdf](http://ccrc.tc.columbia.edu/media/k2/attachments/evaluating-your-colleges-readiness-for-technology-adoption.pdf)
Each institution’s planning period may look different, but all will generate significant outputs, including:

- A compelling vision and ambitious goals.
- Detailed work plans with timelines, roles/responsibilities specified, and resources required.
- Detailed communication strategy and work plan (Chapter 6).
- A plan for providing professional development and training to faculty, staff, administrators, and students (Chapter 7).
- A plan for tracking progress throughout the execution of the redesign strategy, altering the redesign as the tracking indicates, and preparing a summative evaluation closer to the completion of execution (Chapters 8 and 9).
- A plan for refining and sustaining the new approach (Chapter 9).

This chapter provides tips, templates, and activities in service to such accomplishments.

Please note: Do not equate this planning process with inaction. It is a critical time to begin communicating with core groups about what is being planned, why the goals matter, and who is involved. It is also time to gather input and ideas from a broad range of stakeholders with diverse perspectives, experiences, and skillsets. Creating feedback loops allows people to contribute at specific intervals or whenever they have an idea, and presents opportunities to engage more directly in planning activities.

**SUGGESTED ACTIVITY:**

**RE-CENTERING FOCUS ON THE STUDENT EXPERIENCE**

As a team, review and discuss the following with a focus on understanding your students, their experience of your student supports, and the impact on their outcomes:

- Your completed discovery activity (Chapter 3) and notes from your readiness discussion (Chapter 4).
- Core student success data, disaggregated by race/ethnicity, Pell status, gender, program of study, campus, and any other relevant metric for your institution.
- Qualitative data you collect on the student experience, via surveys (such as the Community College Survey of Student Engagement), focus groups, interviews, and secret shopper activities. If you do not have such data, we strongly urge you to gather some. The Community College Survey of Student Engagement offers a comprehensive focus group toolkit.24

---

Value of an Action Plan

Successful planning for student support redesign begins with the student in mind. Your team should now have a thorough understanding of the students you serve and their current experience of your support services.

The Action Plan provided in this chapter is a template for achieving your redesign vision and goals. It can guide team members in the fulfillment of their individual responsibilities. As such, it should be a living document, reviewed and refined on an ongoing basis. Craft it to help existing and new team members grasp and take inspiration from your vision and embrace their role in achieving it.

Remember: The integrated student support approach is meant to be transformative, so construct your Action Plan with scale, sustainability, and equity in mind from the beginning.

A Note on the Timeline

Colleges should look ahead at least three years based on our experience, and the research,25 which shows that multiple years of planning, execution, and refinement are required to plan and implement an integrated student support approach that significantly transforms the student experience. However, institutions that have implemented some student support changes recently may find their redesign takes less time than that of an institution that has not. Therefore, we strongly encourage institutions to split their plan into phases, as suggested below, to make the work more manageable and less daunting.

Completing the Action Plan

STEP ONE: Develop Your Change Vision and Goals

A strong vision, as described by John Kotter’s 8 Steps for Successful Change,26 is a clear, concise, specific, forward looking, and inspirational articulation of what the institution aspires to become, and what it hopes to achieve through an integrated student support approach. All stakeholders can draw from it to set priorities and guide actions.

As you develop or refine your change vision, consider the following:

• Align your vision with the institution’s strategic direction.
• Connect your vision to the student experience.
• Demonstrate how your integrated student support approach will complement and integrate with the other student success efforts at your institution.

• Ensure all team members are easily able to convey your vision.
• Ensure your vision provides a clear guide for the daily work of all faculty and staff and sets forth a solid commitment to your students.
• Leave no doubt that supporting students is the collective responsibility of everyone at the institution.
• Limit the description of your vision to 150 strong, persuasive words. Supplemental communications can provide further information tailored to your stakeholders.

Once you have agreement on your vision, identify and describe three to five goals that will ensure its achievement. These overarching goals, markers of progress toward the vision, should be specific, attainable yet bold, inclusive of a timeline, and measurable.

EXAMPLES OF VISIONS

Visionary Community College

Every student at Visionary Community College will receive personalized support tailored to individual needs and delivered by a team of professionals dedicated to each student’s success and the economic vitality of our community. This intentional student experience will encompass academic, career, financial, and social supports and embody high-quality service, purposeful support that reaches students when they need it, and an approach that engages the student in their own learning journey.

Transformational Community College

Every student will feel like a valued part of the Transformational Community College family. We will challenge and support our students to become lifelong learners and the best version of themselves. We will do so by being the best version of ourselves and working together to ensure every student gets the support, information, and tools needed to flourish in an academic program that aligns with personal and professional goals.

STEP TWO: Identify the Changes in Critical Behaviors and Anticipate How You Will Effect Them

Map backwards from your vision and goals to identify the changes in critical behaviors (of faculty, staff, and student) necessary to reaching these goals. For instance, perhaps you want all new students to develop an academic and career plan in their first semester. This will require altered behavior from advisors, counselors, students, and faculty (whether intimately involved in supporting students in their planning or not). So planning to change and track that behavior would be essential. Review Chapter 8 for more detail, particularly the Outputs to Results Continuum, which describes the relationship between leading and lagging indicators, as well as key evaluation terms that will be useful at this stage.

Once you have identified the necessary changes in critical behaviors, engage your data team, IR department, and/or math faculty in choosing the best ways to track and evaluate these changes.

STEP THREE: Identify Your Phases

To identify your phases, gather the team and brainstorm the major milestones and activities that constitute your vision and goals. Then organize those milestones/activities into phases by:

- Timeframes: Year 1, Year 2... or Semester 1, Semester 2...
- Planning and implementation stages, such as those discussed in this toolkit’s introduction.
- Support model components you plan to redesign. For example, some institutions in the iPASS grant began by implementing an early alert process with a complementary technology tool, then moved to academic planning and career exploration. This made progress toward a broader student support redesign more manageable given their resources.

No matter how you define your phases, each will comprise multiple strands: for example, policy and process redesign, setup and rollout of technology, communication about the work, and redesigning the roles and responsibilities of faculty and staff. Each phase may involve one master and several complementary plans, as laid out in the rest of the toolkit. This chapter will help you develop a master plan for each phase. The following chapters will focus on developing plans for other components, such as communication and engagement, professional development, progress tracking and evaluation, and refinement and sustainability.

Make sure to include communication, engagement, training, and progress tracking goals in all phases.

Remember to celebrate your wins along the way.

RECOMMENDED ACTIVITY: VISUALIZING THE STUDENT EXPERIENCE

Your vision and goals are essential to your communication strategy and should be well understood and regularly revisited by your team. For the benefit of stakeholders beyond the team, consider designing a visual representation of your vision. This should be inspirational, vividly illustrating the student experience you are aspiring to. It may allude to the connections to other student success efforts at your institution and demonstrate the core work involved in achieving the vision. This would help connect the lofty ambition with the changes stakeholders are or will be seeing on a daily basis.
**STEP FOUR: Brainstorm Barriers and Potential Solutions**

Transformative change brings a host of potential challenges that can derail progress. Some may be clear immediately, such as financing the purchase of new technology. However, most barriers are unforeseen or underestimated.

We recommend that you gather your team for a two- or three-hour session to:

1) **Brainstorm Barriers:** This should be short and well facilitated within a "safe" environment in which team members can voice their concerns or fears without repercussion. Remember to be specific; that will help in the next portion of the meeting. For example, do not just say "buy-in," but identify the groups most likely to resist or struggle with the change.

2) **Prioritize Barriers:** Here the team will identify the top five barriers, the ones most likely to appear and to have significant impact on the progress toward and the timeliness of your redesign.

3) **Brainstorm Solutions:** Focus primarily on potential solutions to the five barriers. These brainstormed ideas should determine your action plan tasks. Each of the prioritized barriers will correspond to tasks that could yield solutions. Use the table below to capture your ideas.

**STEP FIVE: Complete the Work Plan for Each Phase**

Complete a work plan for each phase you identified. Consider using the work plan template shown below.

All editable templates referred to in this toolkit can be downloaded from ATD Connect at connect.achievingthedream.org.

### CHALLENGES WITH BUY-IN

Put yourself in the shoes of your main stakeholder groups and consider the work from their perspective. Your guiding team should have at least one person from each group, so allow them time to share their thoughts first. Try not to challenge or attempt to persuade them before fully hearing them.

Consider more proactive ways to engage people beyond your team in the planning phase through focus groups or an advisory group comprising these stakeholder groups. After drafting your Action Plan, team members could meet with these groups to “pressure test” it and get feedback to embed in a revised plan.

---

### PHASE ONE WORK PLAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and brief description of phase</th>
<th>Related major goals</th>
<th>Measurable indicators of progress by year, term, or month:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Key Steps</td>
<td>Start Date</td>
<td>End Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Milestone/Activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
Implementation Challenges: Lessons from iPASS Grant Institutions

Effective Communication to Promote Buy-In and Adoption
When pursuing transformative change like student support redesign, which will impact all aspects of the institution, effective communication can make or break the effort. Institutions that, early on, openly communicated their vision and welcomed stakeholders to share their ideas and concerns, were most likely to gain adherents. Additionally, successful institutions executed an open, regular communication strategy throughout their redesign and infused celebration of early wins to maintain momentum.

Communication Mechanisms to Change Student Behavior
Email remains the dominant way to reach students. However, some iPASS institutions found that students don’t check their academic email account often enough to see time-sensitive messages. Institutions that brought the student voice into their communication strategy could employ creative approaches to getting word to students.

Policy and Process Redesign as the Key to Change
Often, when institutions purchase a new technology, their focus is on procurement and implementation. It is best to couple implementation with a deep examination of current policies and processes in need of change. That facilitates adoption of the technology and ensures success in its connecting to planned improvements of the student experience.

Professional Development that Equips Faculty and Staff to Succeed
Moving to an integrated student support approach requires faculty (full-time and adjunct) and staff to fundamentally change the way they work on a daily basis. Providing thoughtful professional development that equips them with the specific skills (beyond technology use) they need to succeed in their new role is crucial for changes to stick. In addition, well-designed professional development can help to span silos and nurture a college culture that is inquiry based, collaborative, and transparent. (Read more about engaging adjunct and full-time faculty in student success innovation at http://achievingthedream.org/resource/121/cutting-edge-series-1-engaging-adjunct-and-full-time-faculty-in-student-success-innovation.)

Planning to Mitigate Human Resource Obstacles
It is essential to engage your human resource department in the redesign work as you begin to discuss the ways in which people’s roles will change and what new roles you will create. It can be worthwhile to craft job descriptions and performance evaluations tied to the competencies needed for the positions, not to specific experience. In addition, creating a transition plan for key leaders of the work will mitigate challenges related to leadership turnover.

Using Data to Track and Refine the Plan
Despite best intentions, it is common for teams implementing a student support redesign to neglect the collection, analysis, and use of data to track adoption of new technology and processes and the impact on student experience. Be sure to evaluate metrics throughout the semester so refinements can be in real time, not at the end of the term or academic year.

Aligning Multiple Reforms to Tackle Fatigue
Pursuing multiple student success reforms at once often leaves faculty and staff exhausted and overwhelmed by the scale and pace of change. Many iPASS institutions frequently communicated how their iPASS effort aligns with other student success reforms, to show that it is not just “busy work”. In addition, a well-structured redesign team and high-quality professional development can help alleviate real and perceived new burdens.
CRAFTING A COMMUNICATION STRATEGY

Given the importance of strong communication to generate buy-in for successful institutional transformation, what follows is a resource for your team to use in thinking through the most effective ways to explain your student support redesign to various audiences. This chapter is intended as a complement to the Action Plan in Chapter 5 to prompt you to consider all variables as the team develops a communication strategy. It also offers a template. We encourage you to consider how this strategy fits into your institution’s overall student success communications.

Steps to Developing a Strong Communication Strategy

**STEP ONE: Refine your change vision**

The vision statement you drafted at the start of your planning process should act as your team’s North Star, guiding members as they strive daily and individually toward the same goals. It is also central to your communication strategy as it informs the messages targeted at various stakeholders. Therefore, before crafting the strategy, the team would do well to refresh its understanding of the vision. Team members, individually then as a group, should reflect on the following questions and consider possible edits to the vision.

- Does the vision still resonate with you? If not, does the team believe a new vision is necessary?
- Does it clearly define what you are trying to achieve?
- Is it inclusive of all stakeholders—that is, written so it is clear and relevant to non-team members?
- Is it focused on your students?
- Is it ambitious?
- Is it inspirational?
- What about your change vision needs to be communicated to broader stakeholders? How and when should that happen?

**STEP TWO: Analyze stakeholders and barriers**

Conduct an analysis of your student support redesign with a focus on stakeholders and barriers. Consider the following:

- Which stakeholders need to feel a sense of urgency for the redesign to succeed?
- What barriers or other issues may keep that from occurring?
- How can you leverage key stakeholders to generate excitement and buy-in?
- What role can communication play in overcoming barriers?

**STEP THREE: Define the purpose and goals of your communications strategy**

Identify the overarching purpose of your outreach. Your strategy should focus on driving attitudinal change as it relates to your integrated student support approach and on its fitting with your institution’s other student success efforts and goals. Communication is not an end in itself, but a means to transformative change.

💡 Once you have determined your purpose, set specific and measurable communication goals that align with those for the student success redesign and with institutional goals. Limit the number to three: more risks dilution.
If you identify more than one goal, complete steps four to nine with a separate template for each.

**STEP FOUR: Identify your key audiences (internal and external)**

Profile specific audiences (stakeholders) you are targeting with your communication efforts. These will be the students and other groups most directly affected by your changes (those who will be asked to alter their daily behavior or attitude/values). Other audiences are people who have some authority over the changes (such as senior leaders, the board of directors, the college foundation) and who are only indirectly affected by the changes (such as staff and faculty).

Profile information could include: an audience’s knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors as they relate to student support redesign; obstacles to this audience’s fully supporting or participating in progress toward your goals; and characteristics such as values, concerns, and motivations.

Next craft two or three compelling messages that speak to each group.

Consider testing the messages with representatives of these audiences through focus groups, interviews, or an ad hoc advisory committee.

Consider mapping your audiences by their influence on achieving your goals and their proximity to the reforms (i.e., how much their day-to-day will be affected by the changes). Putting your audiences into categories based on these criteria will help you to prioritize your efforts and to gauge the right frequency and complexity of communication for each group.

**STEP FIVE: Develop key messages**

What do your audiences need to know about the integrated student support approach to generate buy-in and/or who needs to know your definitions, rationale, and tactics? For each audience, identify what matters most to them in their role, the biggest obstacles they face in achieving their aims, how your student support redesign can get them closer to their ideal, and what your purpose for communicating with them is (i.e., is it informative only or to generate buy-in to change behaviors?) Whether they are concerned about access to more tailored and timely student information, a more fulfilling role in supporting students, or a reduced workload, your communication plan should be detailed and informed by evidence about their goals and challenges. Messages should be concise and engaging.

Make sure your communication strategy answers the questions: Why? Why now? Why will we succeed? Keep in mind that the “why” needs to appeal to both the head and the heart (logic and emotions).

For some stakeholders (for example, advisors, counselors, tutors, students) there may be a life cycle of communication, from problem-setting to vision-sharing to information-sharing to behavioral-change inducement. Consider this as you craft your messages and plan your communication timeline.

As you develop your messages, consider how to address different groups. What early wins could you pursue to build urgency and buy-in for your redesign with each audience? For example, could your president or another senior officer highlight the importance of the work in all upcoming communications?
**STEP SIX: Identify the communication media**
Determine the most effective way to reach your target audience(s), such as social media, website, email, newsletter, meeting, speech, posters, intranet, video, or printed materials. We encourage you to identify multiple media to ensure that a) you are reaching different stakeholders favoring different change styles and b) your core messages are tailored to the media chosen.

Note the communication channels open to you, then map them to your audiences and messages.

**STEP SEVEN: Identify evaluation metrics**
Evaluating your communication strategy is essential to the effective use of time and resources. On-going, rather than summative, evaluation enables you to make mid-course corrections and achieve maximum impact. Establish targets and milestones for each measure.

Develop SMART evaluation metrics (specific, measurable, actionable, relevant, timely).

Conduct a pre-communication strategy assessment for each metric so you can accurately track your success.

**STEP EIGHT: Develop a work plan**
A communication work plan is a detailed timeline of the activities you will undertake as part of your communication plan. Closely aligned with (or even merged with) your existing Action Plan (Chapter 5), it should provide more detail to identify:

- Resources, including partnerships, staff, students, and money, needed to complete your communication activity.

- The lead person for all things related to a specific communication activity/event/product, as well as other key people involved.

- Activities that memorably convey your message to the intended audience.

- Timeline for each activity.

It is critical that your communications strategy align with that for each of the other student success initiatives now or soon to be in place. Many Achieving the Dream colleges find that developing one overarching communications strategy maximizes cooperation among initiatives, so that stakeholders are enthusiastic about participating.

This is an ideal time to think about making other initiatives on campus your partners, who could share funds, expertise, joint marketing, or other resources.

For important messages, consider using multiple and unconventional media and activities. For example, your students might communicate the ways your project will benefit faculty and advisors through a short play.

Each communications activity should focus on achieving your identified goals.

Anticipate celebrating your successes. Transformative changes like this can take years to reach fruition; marking the smaller wins along the way helps maintain momentum.

**STEP NINE: Evaluate and adjust as necessary**
At regular intervals, determine the strengths and weaknesses of your plan, identify obstacles, and make improvements.

Explore how you can leverage your communication successes to generate more excitement about the work.
Communications Strategy and Work Plan Templates

All editable templates referred to in this toolkit can be downloaded from ATD Connect at connect.achievingthedream.org.

Communications Strategy Template

Limit your strategy description to one page that clearly demonstrates the links among components. The document will guide your decisions and shape explanations of your activities to team members and others who are invested in the success of the student support redesign. Below is a template that could benefit strategy development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Communication Goal</th>
<th>Related Redesign Goal(s)</th>
<th>Audience(s)</th>
<th>Core Message(s)</th>
<th>Medium/a</th>
<th>Frequency of Communication</th>
<th>Evaluation Metric(s)</th>
<th>Key Activities/Events/Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Communication Work Plan Template

There are many tools for organizing the time, resources, and staff you need to implement a communication plan. This template is one that may prove useful.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity / Event / Product</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
<th>Description, Including Key Messages</th>
<th>Audience</th>
<th>Medium/a</th>
<th>Lead Person</th>
<th>Resources Needed</th>
<th>Evaluation Metric(s)</th>
<th>Related Communications Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity / Event / Product</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
<th>Description, Including Key Messages</th>
<th>Audience</th>
<th>Medium/a</th>
<th>Lead Person</th>
<th>Resources Needed</th>
<th>Evaluation Metric(s)</th>
<th>Related Communications Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity / Event / Product</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
<th>Description, Including Key Messages</th>
<th>Audience</th>
<th>Medium/a</th>
<th>Lead Person</th>
<th>Resources Needed</th>
<th>Evaluation Metric(s)</th>
<th>Related Communications Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
University of Texas at San Antonio: Inclusive Communication Planning Yields Success

University of Texas at San Antonio’s (UTSA) iPASS project sought to enhance student success by empowering students, in collaboration with staff and faculty, to build a degree plan using a new technology system with the goal of strengthening support interactions from orientation to graduation. Recognizing that communication with all stakeholders was vital to adoption, the core UTSA iPASS committee—comprising representatives from the Provost’s Office, Academic Advising, Institutional Research, and Information Technology—crafted a communication strategy that responded to the broad range of perspectives of their audiences. The committee also assembled a group of students, faculty, staff, and institutional leaders to contribute to strategy development.

**Target Audiences**

- Students were the primary audience and the goal was to foster interest in and the desire to use new tools to speed their academic journey. UTSA developed short, entertaining promotional videos (available to view at https://vimeopro.com/utsavideoproduction/utsa-degree-works) encouraging students to set up their degree plan using the tool.

- Faculty and student support staff were essential secondary audiences in the drive to secure adoption of the new tool.

- The university’s leaders were the final target audience—potential champions who could communicate the importance of the work, tie it in with the other student success programs on campus, and foster collaboration to meet student needs.

**Executing the Strategy**

Two offices—Academic Advising and the Office of Information Technology Customer Relations and Communications—teamed up to put the plan into effect. They employed digital marketing (videos, website, digital signage, emails), print marketing (T-shirts, pens, banners, flyers, elevator posters), and campus outreach (information sessions, tabling).
The team tried to be transparent and inclusive throughout the planning and implementation and to respond promptly to all questions. Especially important to their success was emphasizing how the project would support other student success initiatives and sharing success stories of other institutions engaged in similar projects.

Measuring Communication Success
The UTSA team evaluated the success of the communication strategy by leveraging new and existing mechanisms to get feedback from key audiences.

- Student feedback was gathered through regular focus groups and the Office of Information Technology’s (OIT) Student Innovation Coalition—a monthly open forum where students are invited to learn about products and services available to them and are treated to lunch.

- Faculty feedback was gathered through the existing quarterly OIT Faculty Advisory Committee meetings, where committee members were also tasked with contributing to the communication endeavor.

- Metrics related to user adoption of technology were also part of the evaluation. For example, in spring 2016 only 14 percent of students had degree plans locked in on the institution’s technology platform. By early spring 2017, this number had risen to 80 percent.

Most Effective Communication Methods
UTSA has a large population of commuter students who attend class online or on campus, but spend limited time there.

- The most effective communication was digital, leveraging high-traffic student webpages and digital boards located on campus, combined with targeted emails, particularly focused on incoming students.

- Flyers were the least effective means of communication method, usually appearing on crowded bulletin boards.

- The team plans to add communication options: for instance, an ad for the degree planning tool in the “Orientation Planner,” a brochure distributed to all incoming students.
This chapter explores the creation of a strategy for the technical training and holistic professional development necessary for the successful adoption of your integrated student support approach. Training refers to learning associated with acquiring specific knowledge or skills required for a specific job or task: For example, learning how to raise and lower flags in an early alert system, or to use a degree planning tool. Professional development focuses on the continuous growth of skills, knowledge, and abilities that contribute to professional and personal growth: For example, advisors coming to see themselves as guides of students’ learning experiences, or full-time and adjunct faculty and staff learning new techniques for supporting the whole student. Training is usually a short-term, easily measured activity—professional development, continuous and cumulative.

Towards the end of the chapter, we present templates to complement your broader action plan (Chapter 5). We encourage you to consider how your strategy can complement and integrate with the institution’s overall professional development offerings, particularly those related to student success efforts. Ultimately, your use of this chapter will depend on the overall shape of your project.

Steps to Developing a Strong Professional Development and Training Plan

STEP ONE: Review adult learning principles and determine the type of learning in which to engage full-time and adjunct faculty or staff

Begin by reviewing basic adult learning principles, first identified in 1970 by Malcolm Knowles, who noted that adults:

- Need to know why they need to learn something;
- Need to learn through experience;
- Approach learning as problem-solving; and
- Learn best when the topic is of immediate value.

His concepts have been adapted in a wide range of training and educational contexts, both professional training development and instructional design at the secondary and postsecondary levels.

For information about Knowles’ “Theory of Andragogy,” review the online primer.27

As Knowles would recommend, start with “why” and gear your sessions to supporting your transformation. In his TED talk “How Great Leaders Inspire Action,” Simon Sinek discusses his concept of the “golden circle,” where “Why?” is at the center of any endeavor’s success.

Consider whether you hope to engage audiences in a training or professional development opportunity.

Concentrate on the structural, process, and attitudinal changes essential to an integrated student support approach. To that end, full-time and adjunct faculty and staff may need a comprehensive strategy for training and professional development that provides more than one-time trainings on tools or new processes.

27 http://www.instructionaldesign.org/theories/andragogy.html
28 https://www.ted.com/talks/simon_sinek_how_great_leaders_inspire_action?language=en
STEP TWO: Determine your professional development and training audience(s) and their needs

Who will feel the impact of your redesign and in what ways? What new technologies or processes will be called for? What new skills or approaches will different stakeholders need? Depending on the specifics of your redesign, the audience may include the following:

- Senior administrators, such as provosts, deans, and department chairs.
- Advisors, including both professional advisors and departmental faculty who advise students.
- Student support staff who work directly with students, such as counselors, financial aid and career services personnel, librarians, tutors, student life staff.
- Full-time and adjunct instructors/faculty.
- Technology and institutional research staff.
- Students.

Remember these four key points:

1. Each audience will have different perspectives, different expectations, and different needs, and would benefit from a customized training strategy comprising multiple trainings, plans, and timelines.

2. It is important to inform each group, to an appropriate degree, of the knowledge and expectations of other groups. For example, advisors will need to understand how their role connects to that of the faculty—who in turn must understand the roles of the various support service staff. If processes vary from one department to another, the session should cover them.

3. Even employees in technical areas, such as IR and IT, need to understand the “why” behind the redesign. At many iPASS grantee institutions, IT staff co-lead on bridging the communication gap between those working with students on a daily basis and those ensuring the technology works efficiently for all.

4. Professional development ideally inspires a willingness among full-time and adjunct faculty to become active partners in the difficult and often uncomfortable change process. Aim for programs that will unify various groups. As employees learn new skills, processes, and approaches, they will also develop stronger bonds across your institution’s units or divisions.

For more information about engaging faculty in student success, see the publication by Achieving the Dream and Public Agenda, "Engaging Adjunct and Full-time Faculty in Student Success Innovation."

STEP THREE: Determine the goals and objectives of the overall strategy

The key to a successful training strategy is knowing what the participants need to understand and be able to do. For each audience you identified in Step Two, develop goals and objectives.

Goal: A broad statement of the expected impact of the strategy overall. What behaviors (these can be technical) and/or attitudes do you hope to see? Why is this important? What impact will these changes have?

Learning Objectives: Specific statements describing the knowledge, skills, and abilities that participants should possess after various sessions. Equip each objective with a verb embodying a measurable and observable action. Two or three objectives per session are sufficient; each objective will encompass multiple skills.

**STEP FOUR: Decide on frequency and delivery mode**

**Frequency:** Neither the technical training nor the professional development component will be a one-time offering. On the technical end, skills will often need to be refreshed or updated as new capabilities emerge; and a subset of users will need more frequent support. Anticipating the what and how of the ongoing support will speed adoption of the tool and ease its implementation. As for professional development, provide multi-session, long-term engagements that enable full-time and adjunct faculty and staff to effect mastery of the new processes and behaviors.

**Delivery mode:** Initial in-person technical trainings could be more effective in building confidence in using the tool, but much of the ongoing support could be virtual. Could answers to common questions and remedies for common errors be automated? If so, the training session could cover that. Consider the most appropriate format for the session, including the:

- Length of time needed for satisfactory engagement with the content, short of overwhelming participants.
- Most effective group size.
- Mix of presentation, group activities or discussion, and individual reflection.
- Best messenger of the content, based on authority exercised and respect commanded, as well as on skill and knowledge.

For sessions focused on training to use tools, consider whether your technology vendor(s) have a training program in place. If so, you may want to ask the vendor representative(s) to “train the trainer,” offering their program(s) to a few key individuals at your institution, who can then train others or lead the development of your training program. (These are often useful for training but insufficient for professional development.)

Have your technology vendor(s) already developed training materials? If so, speak to your vendor representative(s) about accessing them, to determine their suitability for your use. Customizing these materials should include inserting the workflows for your institution, clearly describing the “why” or rationale for the redesign, and summarizing how the program will work for your institution as a whole.

Is training expertise available at your institution? Perhaps people in your human resources department, as well as faculty skilled in instructional design, could co-create a program. Their involvement will strengthen the training and model collaboration across your institution’s units or divisions.

Which professional associations or other organizations could lend a hand in this area? For example, NACADA excels at advising-specific trainings and professional development.

**STEP FIVE: Design the session agenda**

First, break Step Three objectives into specific skills, knowledge, and competencies to create a logical sequence of agenda topics for the session.

Based on objectives, agenda, and audience, identify the individual(s) who will most effectively deliver this training to attain your overall goal.

Determine where and when the series of sessions will take place, who will lead them, how invitations will be issued, and whether any pre-work or materials will go to participants in advance.

**Evaluation:** This should consist of two parts: participants’ feedback on the effectiveness of the session in preparing them to take on a new role or use a new technology, and in making a positive impact on a change of process or behavior (or the adoption of a new technology). Decide whether, and how, to collect baseline data to measure these changes.

Account for how and when the training will be offered to those who may not be present at the regularly scheduled session: for instance, adjunct faculty.

Remember that most people will absorb information—and feel more comfortable using the knowledge and skills afterwards—when they engage with it throughout the session in meaningful ways.

Reinforcing the rationale of the work is essential. Asking open-ended questions that begin with “why,” “how,” or “what,” rather than simply asking “Do you understand?” will help participants articulate your vision in ways that resonate with
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them and encourage buy-in from others. Likewise “What questions do you have?” is preferable to “Do you have any questions?” This sets an expectation that everyone should be engaging deeply enough to formulate questions—which should be the norm in the learning process.

Plan for and deliver “just-in-time” refresher training and/or communication of where resources are available to support early adoption. For example, in communications asking faculty to, say, raise an alert or complete a survey, offer links to short training video on those tasks.

Ideally training strategies include longer-term professional development activities. Consider how you might include learning circles, case studies, or weekly case discussions. The goal is to enhance the training structure with practical methods for internalizing behavioral change.

STEP SIX: Evaluate and adjust as necessary

It will be important for the guiding team to monitor the success of the training and professional development offerings. That will allow improvements to the overall training strategy or remedies for any knowledge or skills gaps. Monitoring, frequent at the start of the implementation and scaling processes, can occur less often afterward. Institutions have found it necessary to revisit their training needs and design at least once a year. This will also help the team understand where and when full-time and adjunct faculty and staff want to go deeper on specific topics.

Professional Development Strategy and Session Plan Templates

All editable templates referred to in this toolkit can be downloaded from ATD Connect at connect.achievingthedream.org.

Professional Development Strategy Template

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional Development/Training Goal</th>
<th>Related Redesign Goal(s)</th>
<th>Audience(s)</th>
<th>Learning Outcomes</th>
<th>Essential Topic(s) to Cover</th>
<th>Delivery Mode(s)</th>
<th>Delivery Frequency</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Professional Development Session Plan Template

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Audience</th>
<th>Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Topics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Work/Handouts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Outcomes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metrics to Ensure Outcomes Are Met</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session Structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VOICE FROM THE FIELD

Montgomery County Community College: Leveraging a Peer-to-Peer Training Model

Supporting students to develop a comprehensive career, financial, and educational plan during their first semester was the focus of Montgomery County Community College’s (MCCC) second round of reform through the iPASS grant. The college brought on a completely new technology to help students explore their career interests and develop a career plan. That produced the most significant demand for training.

Advisors were the first to be trained. At a professional development day before use of the tool was required for students, two advisors led a training session with their peers. Critically, the training covered not only the nuts and bolts of using the tool, but also the philosophy and research behind it, to build buy-in and excitement. A few months later, during the first semester of using the tool, a professor who taught career development led another session, with a philosophical approach to career counseling. Later training opportunities, scheduled monthly, addressed new advising processes that blended career and academic advising.

MCCC chose the peer-to-peer approach because it had proved successful in prior projects. Such a significant change for advisors required sessions effective in building not only skill, but also confidence in and support for the new processes and tool. As reinforcement, the executive director of institutional research regularly emphasized the tool’s reliability and validity.

Measuring Success

A positive indicator was that all students in the college’s target group successfully completed their career interest survey and developed a career plan as a requirement along the pathway to their second semester.

Feedback from advisors also indicated success. Many encouraged students outside the pilot group to use the tool; and a core group of advisors began to use it in their own coursework and as part of a lunch and learn series. Additionally, 87 percent of first-semester students who completed their MyCareerPlan assessment persisted to the spring semester, compared to only 26 percent of students who did not complete it. And over 1,000 returning students who were not required to complete it also took advantage of the tool.

For many counselors and advisors, career advising is at the heart of academic advising. Thus, the tool itself, with its ability to collect information from various sources, was very well received.

Institutionalized Change

Stefanie Crouse, Assistant Professor/Academic Advisor and iPASS core team member, notes “our iPASS work has become part of the ongoing dialogue at MCCC.” This manifests during biweekly meetings as cross-functional discussions about the ways that iPASS is changing the student experience. In monthly advising meetings, changes in protocol and student engagement are discussed. As the college heads toward more intensive changes surrounding the use of their learning management system, advisors participate in weekly check-ins where colleagues share experiences.

Reflecting on the five years of their integrated student supports approach, Crouse says “our early work in this space focused on education planning and early alerts, which laid the foundation for the next level: career planning through advising. Prior to this, advising was a transactional experience, focused on semester-by-semester experiences. Our approach now empowers advisors and students to see advising as creating a pathway for students from start to completion.”
Regular, thoughtful tracking of your performance/progress and indicators of your impact is essential to your integrated student support approach. From the beginning of the redesign effort, collect evidence and information that allows you to understand if changes are taking root (performance or progress tracking), as well as quantitative and qualitative data related to measuring the impact of your redesign on students, faculty, staff, and the institution (evaluation). It helps your team introduce refinements to ensure you achieve your Action Plan goals (Chapter 5) en route to your student success vision.

To choose among available metrics ask:

- How do we define and measure successful implementation and impact?
- How should we collect the data?
- Who is responsible for collecting and disseminating the data?
- Who should have access to the data and how should we provide access?
- What process will we use to analyze the data on an ongoing basis?

After putting your metrics in place, you will be able to answer the following:

- How well is our implementation going?
- How do we communicate our progress beyond the guiding team?
- What impact is our redesign work having on the student experience and student outcomes?
- What progress are we making towards our student success vision and goals?

This chapter provides worksheets, instructions, and examples to help you plan and execute ongoing, effective performance tracking and evaluation of your student support redesign. The outcomes will be invaluable in refining and enhancing your work.

Tracking Performance and Impact Metrics

While performance tracking and evaluation of impact are both critical to success, they should also be developed as an aligned plan given the overlap in purpose. We encourage institutions to develop a plan that tracks data in the five essential buckets identified in Figure 1. This comprehensive approach provides ongoing feedback on how the implementation is progressing and offers a basis for taking immediate actions to improve your redesign, as well as quantifying your intended impact early on. This data can also inform your communication plan, identifying short-term wins you can celebrate and more broadly generating excitement across the institution.
Evaluation is built upon the three types of essential change discussed in Chapter 1: structural, process, and attitudinal. During the redesign rollout, institutions must track and monitor to see whether the institution is moving holistically toward achieving change at all three levels.

Given the importance of technology adoption, it is also necessary to track technology use measures, precursors to successful structural, process, and attitudinal change. Institutions will likely identify these with technology vendors, as they are contingent on the project focus and technology that each institution is deploying.

Finally, your institution may need to employ some key student success metrics that provide comprehensive evaluation of the redesign’s impacts on student outcomes. Please note that it will take time for impact to be reflected in student success metrics, so it is critical to track other categories that demonstrate success more quickly and provide a picture of whether you are on track to impact student outcome data.

The definitions below should help identify the metrics you will prioritize in tracking and analyzing in each category. Examples of metrics appear later in the chapter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric Category</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technology Use Measures</td>
<td>Show the extent of technology adoption among key stakeholder groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural Change Measures</td>
<td>Show the extent to which systems and business practices are changing or have changed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Change Measures</td>
<td>Show the extent to which changes in individual engagement and interpersonal interactions with systems and business practices are changing or have changed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitudinal Change Measures</td>
<td>Show the extent to which core underlying attitudes, values, and beliefs are changing or have changed. The underlying attitudes, values, and beliefs relate to the systems, business practices, and individual engagement and interpersonal interactions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Success Measures</td>
<td>Show the student outcome results achieved.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To provide the clearest, fullest picture of your redesign progress, it is important to employ both quantitative and qualitative evaluation and to disaggregate the data you collect to analyze the impact on various student populations and the causes of this impact.

In addition to ensuring you are tracking metrics in each of the five categories, it is important to ensure you track both leading and lagging indicators. **Leading** indicators track actions—such as the percentage of students registering for classes in their academic and career pathway or creating academic and financial plans—that institutions have control over and that can make a difference in achieving desired outcomes. These are specific levers that institutions can pull in areas of action related to technology use, structural change, process change, and attitudinal change that are likely to lead to improved student success. **Lagging** indicators measure important changes that accrue over time that demonstrate the institution is making progress. For example, these might include tracking progress in raising the percentage of students completing gatekeeper courses and total courses completed in their major or students completing degrees without having to earn extra credits beyond those required. It might also include exploring reductions in students changing majors and similar behaviors associated with taking longer to earn a degree.

The Outputs to Results Continuum describes the relationship between leading and lagging indicators as well as key evaluation terms that will be useful in the development of your measurement selection and data collection plan.
Tools for Selecting and Collecting Your Data

Outputs to Results Continuum

**Definition: Leading Indicators**
Leading indicators are precursors or inputs to your intended results or impact and are typically measured on a daily or weekly basis. Leading indicators provide real time formative feedback needed to inform immediate actions that are needed to produce improved end outcomes (lagging indicators).

**Definition: Lagging Indicators**
In contrast, results or end outcomes that measure the final impact of the intervention (typically measured at the end of a semester, a year, or several years) are called lagging indicators.

**Outputs**
Outputs are numbers or amounts of things done. For example, activities, events, messages sent, students advised, etc.

Outputs provide the answer to the question: “How much did we do?”

Outputs lead to initial outcomes.

**Initial Outcomes:** Changes in Attitudes, Knowledge, and Skills
Changes in attitudes, knowledge, and skills are initial outcomes. They are also LEADING indicators.

Attitudes, knowledge, and skills help provide the answer the question: “How well did we do it?”

Changes in attitudes, knowledge, and skills lead to intermediate outcomes.

**Intermediate Outcomes:** Changes in Critical Behaviors
Changes in critical behaviors are intermediate outcomes. They are also LEADING indicators.

Critical behaviors also help provide the answer to the question: “How well did we do it?”

Changes in critical behaviors lead to results.

**Results**
Results are the end outcomes and which are typically expressed as student success measures. They are also LAGGING indicators.

Results provide the answer to the question: “Is anyone better off?”

---

**FREQUENTLY USED TERMS**

**Formative Measurement** — The use of leading indicators (both qualitative and quantitative data) for feedback on progress in implementation of an intervention for the purpose of identifying actions that can be taken to improve the intervention and likely results. Formative measurement may not be just leading indicators—can also be things like focus groups. The key is that it is used to make real time adjustment. The indicators may not even be about outcomes—they could be about approaches that are making people mad or confronting unexpected challenges.

**Summative Measurement** — The use of lagging indicators or end results (quantitative and sometimes qualitative information) to determine if the impact or benefits justify the continuation and/or scaling of the intervention.
To effectively develop a performance tracking plan, we provide two tools that should be used in tandem.

1. **Tool #1** is the **Measurement Selection Worksheet**, which will help your team think through the measurements necessary to answer these questions: How much did we do? How well did we do it? Is anyone better off?

2. **Tool #2** is the **Data Collection Plan**, which identifies the sources of the needed data, how they will be analyzed, responsibilities, due dates, and targets.

Below you will find both tools with instructions on how to use them and examples of how to complete the worksheet.

### Tool #1: Measurement Selection Worksheet with Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAREER PLANNING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Questions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Categories</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># and % of new students provided career services (i.e., career awareness, aptitude assessment, and counseling)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisors are more knowledgeable about labor market conditions associated with specific careers/major fields of study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Step One

List the redesign focus area at the top of the worksheet and then brainstorm ways to measure how much your college has accomplished to date. You should prepare a Measurement Selection Worksheet for each focus area of your work. For example, if your redesign incorporates both early alert and degree planning emphases, you would have a worksheet for each, with the focus stated at the top of each worksheet.

Quantitative measures and qualitative information would be provided for tracking the progress of all of your focus areas. Some of the result metrics—student success measures—may be the same for each area.

In such cases, the leading indicators help provide a basis for making judgments on the contribution of each focus area to the changes in student success.

### Step Two

Begin completing the worksheet by identifying the ultimate result you are striving for (your student success measures) in column four, also known as the lagging indicators. Refer to example measures provided at the end of this chapter.

### Step Three

In the second column and third column, brainstorm intended leading indicators. Refer to examples of...
measures provided at the end of this chapter. These could be technology uptake, attitudinal change, structural change, process change measurements, or qualitative data extracted from surveys or focus groups. Focus on leading performance measures for your college to track progress towards your intended results (column four) in real time.

Be sure to identify measures that the team considers to be the most critical and feasible.

- The most important leading indicators are those that, during the redesign rollout, will provide the best feedback on how well the rollout is going and inform the need for action to improve performance, and therefore contribute to and guide your refinement efforts.

- Feasibility is a function of the team’s ability to reasonably obtain data on the leading indicator. This means that, if some new data collection procedures are necessary, they are not unduly burdensome compared with their value to inform decisions on how well things are progressing and the need for improvement. It also means that the full list of leading indicators is not unduly burdensome to collect and analyze when considered in total.

**Step Four**

In the first column, list the outputs for the focus area based on your action plan. These are the activities or products that have been completed and should be logically related to the outcomes (columns two and three) and results (column four).
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**Tool #2: Data Collection Worksheet with Example**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures (Initial and Intermediary Outcomes from Measurement Selection Worksheet)</th>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>Data Collection Sources &amp; Procedures</th>
<th>Analysis Methods</th>
<th>Person(s) Responsible for Collection &amp; Analysis</th>
<th>Due Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students are more knowledgeable about career options and major fields of study best suited for them (types of jobs within career fields, nature of job responsibilities, likely earnings upon graduation)</td>
<td>85% of new students are more knowledgeable about career options and major fields of study best suited for them</td>
<td>Brief questionnaire administered to new students prior to the start of the term</td>
<td>Quantitative analysis</td>
<td>IR Office collects, guiding team analyses</td>
<td>Every term, results due by week 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisors are more knowledgeable about labor market conditions associated with specific careers / major fields of study</td>
<td>100% of advisors are knowledgeable about labor market conditions associated with specific major fields of study</td>
<td>Participation rates of advisors in LMI training</td>
<td>Descriptive data analysis</td>
<td>Advising supervisor collects, guiding team analyses</td>
<td>End of fall 2017 term, monitor at the beginning, middle, and end of each term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in number of new students with a career / academic plan prior to class registration</td>
<td>100% of new students have a career / academic plan prior to class registration</td>
<td>Date career/academic plan is created compared to date of registration by students</td>
<td>Descriptive data analysis</td>
<td>Advising supervisor and IR Office collect, guiding team analyses</td>
<td>Spring 2018, monitor weekly each term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in number of advisors administering career assessments and using labor market data to guide students to a major field of study</td>
<td>100% of advisors administer career assessments and use labor market data to guide students to a major field of study</td>
<td>Brief survey or flash focus group of advisors to assess knowledge and use of LMI</td>
<td>Comparative analysis (to former knowledge and behaviors of faculty)</td>
<td>Advising supervisor and IR Office collect, guiding team analyses</td>
<td>Fall 2017, monitor weekly each term</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Step One
List all identified measures from Tool #1 Measurement Selection Worksheet in the first column.

Step Two
Identify the data collection plan (i.e., data sources, data collection methods, timelines, etc.) for each of the measures.

Step Three
Be sure to gather baseline data to determine how well you are doing. Make sure you are involving your institutional research and/or information technology office(s) and, if you are in the Achieving the Dream Network, your Achieving the Dream Data Team.

Step Four
Use baseline data to set performance targets. Monitor progress toward performance targets. Use performance outcomes to inform improvements.

Disaggregation of data is critical for student success metrics in order to answer questions such as “Did we do well for all students or only some?” and “Who did we help most and who needs more support?” Typical variables to disaggregate include race/ethnic group, gender, age, part-time/full-time status, and Pell status.

Your evaluation should include both quantitative and qualitative measurements. Multiple sources, including surveys, focus groups, interviews, and student records are essential tools to help you identify why your redesign effort is or is not working and how you can refine it to increase successful implementation and adoption. Qualitative data is particularly valuable to inform the refinement. Collecting qualitative data does not have to occur in one large effort. Periodic release of short two- or three- question surveys can also provide fruitful information on which to act. Remember to survey all of the stakeholders participating in or affected by your redesign effort to get a holistic view.

For those leading indicators you track, consider in advance the types of corrective action that could be taken to improve performance. By thinking through these options, how they would work, and the training required in advance of their use, it would be possible to test them if leading indicator feedback suggests this is necessary. This will help you expedite testing of improvement ideas during the rollout.

Monitor performance during the rollout and take improvement actions as appropriate. In particular, the impact evaluation component of your master Tracking Progress and Impact Plan will be an integral part of the refinement and scaling discussions. The evaluation measures and leading indicators you track can inform your reflection on the corrective actions that are needed to reach your goals.

Read more about evaluating student success efforts, particularly using a logic model, through the Evaluating Student Success Interventions: Principles and Practices of Student Success document.31
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Example Measures by Category

The following section presents measurements for your team to consider tracking. The list is certainly not exhaustive and you likely have ideas for other measures that will better suit your needs. The list is designed to give you a starting point and stimulate discussion about possible measures to track. Keep in mind that you should include in your plan only the most critical metrics to track to keep the workload manageable and the analysis focused on your progress and intended impact.

**Technology Use Measures**

- Number of warning flags raised for:
  - Financial aid and academic probations.
  - Absences.
  - Failed or missing homework.
  - Poor performance.
- Number of positive flags (kudos) raised for:
  - Strong performance or improvements.
  - Students making up missing work.
  - Students receiving tutoring.
- Percentage of students receiving:
  - One to three warning flags.
  - Four or more warning flags.
  - Kudos.
- Percentage of raised flags closed.
- Percentage of full-time faculty and adjunct faculty using early alert system to:
  - Raise warning flags.
  - Give students kudos.
- Percentage of full-time faculty and adjunct faculty who record attendance.
- Percentage of full-time faculty and adjunct faculty who record mid-term grades.
- Total flags raised for x number of students.
- Total number of appointments being made by students and advisors.
- Percentage of students using degree planning tools by purpose (degree planning, degree auditing, registration, etc.).
- Total number of notes that staff record in the system.
- Percentage of advisors (staff and faculty) and student support staff using degree planning tool in the desired manner.
- Total number of degree plans built by students and/or advisors.

**Structural Change Measures**

Leading indicators of structural change show the extent to which there has been change in systems and business practices. Note that some of the examples are complex and may need to be tracked over a much longer time than others, such as creation of meta-majors or pathways.

- Extent to which advising and student support systems and practices have moved from a focus on enrollment and registration to a focus on sustained, strategic, integrated and proactive and personalized advising and student support. For example, consider tracking the number of changes to policy, staffing structures/roles, professional development, etc.
- Number and type of policies and procedures changed from optional to required (e.g., educational plan required of all students in first semester, mandatory advising, mandatory student success course, mandatory orientation).
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• Extent to which the student intake process collects information from students, including non-cognitive factors, which can be used to identify at-risk students.

• Extent to which tools are used to assess students’ non-cognitive skills as part of risk assessment.

• Extent to which resources and training have been developed to help full-time faculty and adjunct faculty and staff transition to the new practices. Consider tracking the number of trainings/tools that are offered/generated and the attendance at or use of each.

• Extent to which academic planning software supports academic pathways (guided pathways).

• Protocols established for closing alerts and included in training for faculty and staff.

• Number of student support and advising policies and practices redesigned.

• Redesigned student intake process, such as changes to related policies, processes, staffing, etc.

• Redesigned student orientation, including an introduction of these tools and instruction on their use.

• Creation of meta-majors/educational and career pathways.

• Percentage of degree programs that could be completed by a full-time student on time based on schedule.

• Associate’s Degrees: Percentage of degree programs comprising 60 credits.

• Bachelor’s Degrees: Percentage of degree programs comprising 120 credits.

• Number and percentage of stackable certificate programs created or redesigned.

• Reorganization of institutional units and leadership.

• Creation of new positions and or titles in support of this work.

• Number of high-impact practices\(^{32}\) being offered throughout the curriculum to help increase student engagement.

Process Change Measures

Leading indicators of process change show the extent to which there has been change in individual engagement and interpersonal interactions with systems and business practices. Note that some of the examples are complex and may need to be tracked over a much longer time than others, such as shifts in advisor functioning.

• Extent to which advisors shift from functioning as registration clerks to approaching advising as teaching.

• Extent to which advisors have access to and use student’s risk assessment.

• Extent to which advisors have access to and use periodic assessments of student’s risk levels and advise student accordingly.

• Number and percentage of high-risk, intermediate-risk, and low-risk students (as defined by the institution) that receive proactive advising and interventions.

• Number and percentage of students participating in group advising, if offered.

• Number and percentage of full-time faculty and adjunct faculty using early alert system.

• Number and percentage of course sections where early alert is used.

• Number and percentage of new cohort students using degree planning tool.

• Student utilization rates of resources and services.

• Average time of advising session for high-risk students, mid-risk students, and low-risk students.

• Extent to which student learning outcomes for advising sessions are achieved with high-risk students, mid-risk students, and low-risk students.

• Number and percentage of full-time faculty and adjunct faculty who record attendance accessible by early alert system.

• Number and percentage of full-time faculty and adjunct faculty who record grades throughout the term that are accessible by an early alert system.

• Extent to which faculty and staff follow protocol for closing alerts.

• Number and percentage of students who follow through on alerts (go to tutoring, see advisor, etc.).

• Number and percentage of situations where students do not follow through on early alerts which are responded to through collaborative cross-functional follow-up by faculty and staff.

• Level of cross-functional use of advising, tutoring, and instructor notes/reports regarding students (creation of notes and reports and review of notes and reports).

• Number and percentage of students with academic plans by program/major.

• Number and percentage of deviations from academic plans not approved by advisor.

• Number and percentage of advisors who monitor their students’ progress and reach out to the student if concerns arise (financial, academic, etc.)

• Number and percentage of students who review academic audit information prior to registering or review degree planning tool to assess the impact of changing major or program on cost, time, and career.

**Attitudinal Change Measures**

Leading indicators of attitudinal change show the extent to which core underlying attitudes, values, and beliefs have moved from norms of efficiency and non-integrated support to norms of broad ownership of sustained, strategic, integrated, proactive, and personalized advising and student support. The underlying attitudes, values and beliefs are in regard to the systems, business practices, and individual engagement and interpersonal interactions with systems and business practices.

• Change in responses on survey items related to advising (Noel Levitz, SENSE, NSSE).

• Change in perceptions of advising redesign usefulness.

• Institutional support for advising redesign, particularly among key stakeholders.

• Satisfaction surveys of users (students, faculty, staff)—be sure to include questions that uncover the ways in which users experience the institution and their work differently as a result of the advising redesign. Consider a short survey of two or three questions that could be asked periodically to track satisfaction, with different versions of the survey for faculty, for staff, and for students. Keep in mind that satisfaction may decrease at first if there are refinements needed.

• Use of focus groups to track satisfaction and changing attitudes, values, and beliefs.

• Extent to which full-time and adjunct faculty and staff believe the resources and training have been effective.

• Extent to which tools are used to assess essential college and career skills as part of risk assessment.

• Extent to which full-time and adjunct faculty and staff understand and are committed to the college’s vision for the student experience based on the integrated advising and student support strategy.
## Student Success Measures

The student success metrics identified below capture leading indicators and student outcomes across the trajectory of the student experience. Note that those identified during the earlier phases of the student experience (Connection/Entry, Progression) are likely to be your leading indicators, while those identified later in the student experience are likely to be your lagging indicators and ultimate outcome metrics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Metrics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Connection / Entry** | - Initial enrollment  
                     - FAFSA completion  
                     - Completion of New Student Orientation  
                     - Survey of Entering Student Engagement/ Beginning College Survey of Student Engagement (SENSE/BCSSE)  
                     - Number and percentage of students completing diagnostic  
                     - Number and percentage of students entering major or meta major  
                     - Number and percentage of students with academic/career plan  
                     - Number and percentage of students with financial plan |
| **Progression**   | - First-term credits successfully passed and GPA  
                     - Attainment of credit milestones  
                     - Fall to spring persistence  
                     - Fall to fall persistence  
                     - Course success rates  
                     - Course withdrawal rates  
                     - Credit completion ratio  
                     - Developmental education course completion  
                     - Gateway course completion  
                     - Changes in survey results from Community College Survey of Student Engagement (CCSSE); Student Satisfaction Inventory (SSI); National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) |
| **Completion**    | - Certificate and degree completion rates  
                     - Graduate satisfaction rates  
                     - Average length of time to credential  
                     - Average credits to credential  
                     - Cost of excess credits to credential |
| **Transition/ Transfer** | - Transfer rate with award  
                      - Transfer rate without award  
                      - Ratio of credits earned to credits transferred |
| **Transition to Workforce** | - Employment rates  
                         - Median first-year earnings  
                         - Employer satisfaction rates |
Refinement is about building on the planning and implementation work (as detailed in previous chapters), setting up a routine for reflection, and identifying methods for improvements. Refinement is also a long-term and continuous process. As your contextual reality changes—for example, through changing student needs or public/institutional policy reforms—and new promising practices are found, you should continue to refine your integrated student support approach.

**Guidelines for a Strong Refinement Discussion**

**Who**
Refinement conversations should occur with your guiding team (Chapter 2) and key end-users and IR (if not already represented on the guiding team). It is important to include end-users to ensure that there is a clear understanding about how different stakeholder groups may be responding to your redesign.

**When**
We strongly recommend that institutions begin to assess the need for refinement early, even during the first semester of the initial launch of your student support redesign. In addition to providing the opportunity to make real-time course corrections, beginning the refinement process early will prepare you to begin thinking about sustainability more quickly. Although you will still be collecting performance tracking data, as soon as you have gathered a couple of months of data on the impact and adoption of the rollout we encourage you to schedule a refinement discussion. Analyzing early data may suggest minor refinements to be implemented immediately or more significant changes for the following semester. Remember, refinement is a continuous process in which there is a cadence of assessment that should start during the first semester and then be institutionalized.

**What**
In addition to pulling together the team and using the discussion questions below, there are several planning documents you will want to refer to as you assess progress and refine, particularly your original vision and goals. We encourage you to have a progress report of initial evaluation measures, along with the action plan, communication plan, and training plan that your team has been using.

**How**
The most effective discussions will occur when the group is open and honest. In a cross-functional, cross-hierarchical group, there are some steps you may need to take to ensure that a safe space is created for this dialogue. As discussed in Chapter 4, we strongly encourage you to include an outside facilitator (someone who is not intimately involved with the redesign work) and utilize the facilitator tips in Appendix A.

We encourage you to consider discussing each of the steps below and compile notes during the discussion. Notes about challenges, areas that require attention, or barriers to urgency should then be used to identify key next steps for your action plan in your next phase of the work.
**STEP ONE: Review initial performance tracking data (Chapter 8) and possible barriers**

- What does the data show about use and adoption of the new technology? What does the data show about your progress toward transformative change (structural, process, and attitudinal)?
- Are you on track in your implementation and adoption?
- Brainstorm potential barriers that different stakeholder groups may be facing; hold conversations with representatives of those groups. Then consider what might be the root causes of the barriers. What needs to be done to overcome these barriers?

**STEP TWO: Review your Action Plan vision statement and timeline (Chapter 5)**

- Does this vision statement still resonate with your aspirations for the redesign?
- Are you making progress toward this vision and toward transformative institutional change? What data support your answer?
- What are key areas that require more attention to ensure they are implemented successfully?
- What milestones have been reached and what additional milestones need to be developed to scale the success thus far?
- What successes have you experienced thus far? Have you celebrated those short-term wins? What steps need to be taken to build on these successes?

**STEP THREE: Check for technology updates and new features**

Most institutions recognize that a phased approach in rolling out their technology solutions is a best practice. All too often, though, they stop after the first phase rather than continuing to leverage what they have invested in. Make sure you take the time to look at new features you are not yet using that may support your efforts, as well as enhancements delivered by your technology vendor.

- Engage end users and find out what they are and are not using and why. What else might they like to be able to do with the platform? It may be possible that the feature is available.
- Schedule a follow-up call with your technology vendor for an update on the solution. Share your findings with them: What works, what doesn’t, what you wish the technology did for you?
- Review updates/enhancements made to the system. You may be surprised to discover that some of the issues identified by your users have already been solved, and that adopting these new solutions can be an easy “win” to continue to boost adoption.
- If needed, engage with your vendor in your next phase of implementing new workflows. Remember to leverage their training materials and best practices.
**STEP FOUR: Determine any steps needed for sustainability**

Based on what’s working well or what may need to be shored up through institutionalization, determine what mechanisms you need to sustain and move toward institutionalization of the work. Consider whether you might need any of the following:

- Policy changes
- Cross-training other staff
- Budget allocation
- Restructuring
- Adjustments to job descriptions or performance reviews
- Additional funding
- Additional professional development
- New protocols or procedures

For more resources on sustainability and scaling, check out these resources:


*TAACCCT Sustainability Toolkit* https://taaccct.workforcegps.org/resources/2016/07/25/13/22/Resource_TAACCCTSustainabilityToolkit


**STEP FIVE: Identify next steps, refine, and repeat**

After reviewing the notes from the discussions of Steps One through Four, revisit the following documents and identify the next steps and refinements needed:

- **Action Plan**
  - Do you need to make any revisions to the vision statement?
  - Enhance and build out the timeline.
  - What considerations do you need to take into account to ensure you are integrating this work with other student success initiatives?

- **Communication Plan and Training Plan**
  - Build on what worked from the first phase of the rollout. What adjustments need to be made to the communication plan to address barriers and maintain urgency? How are you planning to improve and sustain your training efforts?

- **Tracking Progress and Impact**
  - Do the measures you are using capture the data you need to track the rollout and adoption of your redesign in a timely manner?
  - Do the measures you are using track leading and lagging indicators of the impact of your redesign?
  - Do you need to make any adjustments based on the refinement and scaling discussion? Do data reveal a need to slow down, speed up, shift gears, or modify certain components?

- **What data should be shared with stakeholders to maintain urgency and momentum needed to scale your redesign?**

- **Next Touchpoint for Refinement**
  - Ideally there is a regular cadence to when you will have refinement meetings. If not, be sure to establish what the timeline and touchpoints will be for subsequent refinement discussions. What structures or procedures could you put in place to institutionalize the refinement process?
TIPS FOR FACILITATORS

1. Begin by setting the tone of the meeting to create a safe space for all to share their viewpoint.

2. Record individual responses on flip charts, or ask a “recorder” to assist with this as well as note-taking. Some people are more visually oriented and need to see critical information as it is being discussed.

3. Balance participation so most, if not all, participants have an opportunity to speak. This is particularly important given that this team is cross-hierarchical. If someone is dominating the conversation, encourage that person to give others an opportunity to participate. Ask to hear from people who have not yet spoken.

4. Remind participants of guidelines as needed. Do not allow put downs or interruptions. This is a critical aspect of the facilitator’s role, and can be one of the most challenging. If well managed, contrasting views can be highly valuable. It can be a helpful way to identify the areas that need greater attention prior to project implementation and/or identify areas around which there may be differing messages or experiences depending on one’s functional and hierarchical location at the institution. If conflict arises, encourage team members to discuss the ways in which their experiences may have influenced their perspective on that component. Make note of these differences as they may indicate necessary steps in developing your Action Plan.

5. Challenge assumptions and premature conclusions, helping the group gain a better understanding of deeper or underlying issues.

6. Use your listening skills. Summarize and restate in a neutral manner as needed, or ask someone to restate what he or she heard. Ask questions to clarify what someone is saying if the group is unclear. Listen for the gem of wisdom or important nugget in each comment.

7. Keep the group focused on the topic and move the meeting forward. Consider using a “parking lot” for issues that come up that are not directly related to the current discussion. Make a plan for addressing each tabled issue before closing, if possible.

8. Remind the team that any negative findings or interactions do not mean that the institution cannot or should not move forward with its work, but help identify potential issues that may need to be addressed as the institution engages in transformative reform.

a) Help build consensus by restating common ground, as you hear it, and by making connections between different perspectives.

b) Check for consensus when you think the group has had an opportunity to discuss concerns and reach some agreement. Repeat agreements as you hear them to help the group and to make sure the note-taker has the language to which the group agrees.
Appendix B
CHECKLIST FOR EARLY ALERT TECHNOLOGY

Below is a compilation of questions and items for institutions to consider as they select, set up, and roll out an early alert (EA) technology.

What is known about the history of the vendor and its performance track record and success in implementing?

• How long has the vendor been in business?

• How many active clients does the vendor currently serve? Given the client list, how many clients are: newly signed, in the design phase, or in early implementation, or are established users who are employing all product features? Consider reaching out to client institutions to hear about their experiences. If one of the client institutions is in Achieving the Dream (see an up-to-date list at www.achievingthedream.org/our-network/colleges), contact Mei-Yen Ireland at mireland@achievingthedream.org to request a connection.

Integration and Access

• How will the EA software interface with the existing student information system (SIS), learning management systems (LMS), and other systems that support students on campus?

• Will you be sending information from the SIS, LMS, or other systems, to the EA system?

• Does the EA system integrate with the SIS and LMS out of the box, or do integration scripts need to be written? If scripts need to be written, what is the estimated load on IT?

• Can you manually upload records into the EA system?

• Will you need to send information from the EA system back to the SIS/LMS or any other system you are using? If so, which one?

• How often will you be sending SIS/LMS updates to the EA system?

• Can you create new records directly in the EA system? If so, what other systems need to be updated with this information? How often?

• Can you update and/or change existing records in the EA system? If so, can you restrict the data you may update via the EA system?

• Can you delete/sunset records that are no longer active?

• Does the EA system allow for single sign-on? How?

• Can you determine/restrict access to the EA system and certain information based on roles/personas?

What information/settings “come out of the box” with the system?

• What do they mean? (Operational definition)

• What are their values? (Operational definition)

• Can they be modified?

• By whom (e.g., the vendor only, “super-user” at the institution, all users)?

• If by vendor, is there a charge and what is the timing?

What settings need to be configured and how flexible is the system?

• Consider compliance with:
  • Federal rules (e.g., FERPA, Pell)
  • State rules (e.g., excess credits, multiple course attempts)
  • Institutional rules (e.g., probation, dean’s lists)

• What happens to modifications when upgrades to the system are delivered?

• How often is the system upgraded/modified?
What triggers/generates an alert?
• Can you manually raise alerts at any time?
• Does the system support automatic alerts based on new/changed data?
  • For example: a GPA below a 2.00, no registration as deadline looms, new flags being raised.
• Are these “rules” easy to set up?
• Does the system support alerts based on predictive models/data? If so, is this function being used?
• Is there an easy way to ask/promote faculty at specific times in the semester to give feedback? For example: a survey mechanism.
• Does the system allow you to raise flags for a group of students based on specific variables you can determine?
• Can the system aggregate alerts (e.g., show when a student has more than “x” number of alerts and therefore might require immediate attention)?
• Does the system allow you to give positive feedback to students?
• Can students raise alerts on themselves? For example: ask for help.

Who will be notified of an alert?
• Student, advisor, instructors, others, or a combination of these?
• Can notifications be customized by persona (i.e. student characteristics/groups)?
• In what ways can you send alert notifications?
  • Text, e-mail, lists for advisors, etc.?
  • If the alert is sent with a specific faculty/staff member’s name attached, can that person edit or customize the message?

What actions will you take for each alert?
• What follow-up mechanism will be in place?
• Can more than one person be assigned to an alert?
• Can you add a due date to an alert (allowing for follow-up by advisor or others if the date has passed)?
• Does the system allow you to assign an alert to someone else for follow-up?
• Does the system allow you to note an alert status (e.g., “in progress”, “closed”)?
• Can advisors select students by type of alert, number of alerts, and/or other criteria, to help with advisors’ workload? This should help support your advising reform efforts.
• What notifications can be sent once an alert is addressed?
• What notifications are sent if there is a change in the variable that caused the alert?
• Can alerts automatically be closed by the system if the issue is resolved?
• Does the system allow you to “bulk clear” alerts?

What kind of reports are available out of the box with the system?
• Can reports be run by any user with permission or only system administrators? Are they easy to run, navigate, and analyze?
• Can reports be customized?
• Can the reports be downloaded?
• Can reports be printed?

Time and effort for implementation:
• Does the system come with any pre-delivered support and/or training embedded in the software?
• Ask for time and effort required for implementing the system. Consider implementing in phases if you do not believe you can train all the people involved. If a phased implementation is considered, ask your consultant how best to decide how to focus and target your Phase 1.

Who makes decisions on choosing what features to deploy, establishing configurations and settings, and implementing possible modifications and refinements to the system?
• Consider establishing a permanent “user group” for this purpose and controlling in some way how changes to the system can be made.
CHECKLIST FOR DEGREE PLANNING TECHNOLOGY

Below is a compilation of questions and items for institutions to consider as they set up and roll out a degree planning system.

What is known about the history of the vendor and its performance track record and success in implementing?

- How long has the vendor been in business?
- How many active clients does the vendor currently serve? Given the client list, how many clients are: newly signed, in the design phase, or in early implementation, or are established users who are employing all product features? Consider reaching out to client institutions to hear about their experiences. If one of the client institutions is in Achieving the Dream (see up-to-date list at www.achievingthedream.org/our-network/colleges), contact Mei-Yen Ireland at mireland@achievingthedream.org to request a connection.

How will the software interface/integrate with your other systems that are critical in your iPASS work?

- Will it integrate with your student information system (SIS)?
- Will it integrate with your early alert (EA) system and your advising case management system if you have one?
- Does it need to integrate with the learning management system (LMS)? (Usually not.)
- Does the degree planning system read your degree audit system (if you have one in place)?
- Does the system integrate with the SIS and other systems needed out of the box, or do integration scripts need to be written? If scripts need to be written, what is the estimated load on IT?
- Does it integrate in both directions (i.e., receives data and sends data back)?
- How often can you be sending SIS updates to the degree planning system?
- Will your degree planning system update your SIS? If so, how often?
- Is it possible to determine/restrict access to certain information in the degree planning system, and/or to specific system functions, depending on the roles/personas of those requesting access?
- Can you integrate with the SIS to trigger registration from a degree plan?
- Does the degree planning system allow for single sign-on? How?
- Does the degree planning system have easy-to-navigate student- and staff-facing interfaces?
- Is the system mobile app ready?

How are degree plans created/generated?

- Can students build their own degree plans?
- Can degree plans be system generated?
- Can you bulk assign degree plans to groups of students based on certain criteria?
- Can you create degree plans for prospective students (i.e., students who may not be in the system already)?
- Are these plans, once generated, updated automatically as students progress? Do they take into consideration the college’s scheduling for one or more years ahead when calculating time to degree and recommended path?
**Degree planning functionality**

- Can you determine the time left for completion based on degree plan?
- Can you see the financial impact of degree plan?
- Can you do what-if scenarios to explore other majors/minors and degrees?
- Can the system show the financial impact of changing degrees?
- Can you determine if students are on track to completing their degree? Can you notify students if they are not on track?
- Can you see how many credits are completed, how many credits a student has registered for, and how many credits are needed to complete a degree?
- Can you customize a degree plan?
- Can you waive/substitute requirements? Can you add notes to document these waivers/substitutions?
- If the system allows for note-taking, can the notes entered be shared with other offices? How will these notes interface with other advising system(s)?
- Can you restrict who can modify degree plans?
- Can you clear a student to graduate based on degree plan (and possible waivers provided)?
- Does the system track who made changes to degree plans?
- Can the system check what sections of courses are available based on the plan?
- Does the system warn you if student is registering off plan?

**What kind of reports are available out of the box with the system?**

- Can students run and print their own degree plans?
- Can any user with permission run reports, or only system administrators?
- Can advisors run reports on groups/lists of students? If so, can these be downloaded and printed? Are they easy to run, navigate, and analyze?
- Can reports be customized?
- Can the system provide course demand reports for upcoming terms based on student plan requirements?

**Time and effort for implementation**

- Does the system come with any pre-delivered support and/or training embedded in the software?
- Ask for time and effort required for implementing the system.
- Consider implementing in phases: the most common degree plans first, other degree plans later as time allows.
Solidifying Your Predictive Analytics Approach

Any institution that considers infusing predictive analytics into their student support model should begin by discussing and deciding on the right approach for their institution’s culture, needs, and students. We encourage you to answer the following questions with a cross-functional, cross-hierarchical team of people, including strong representation from those who will be using the data on a daily basis. We also encourage you to explore how to bring the student voice into the design of your predictive model and the protocols that define how the output it used.

Note: It is important to remember that when predictive models are developed, they inherently incorporate biases from the context (cultural, individual, and institutional) in which they are created. Care should be taken to ensure the model does not reinforce, or amplify, these biases, both when developing your own predictive model or purchasing a technology that produces a predictive model.

Questions to Consider

• What does “success” look like (i.e., the success that the predictive model is intended to help you achieve)? What do we want to encourage?

• How do we track this success? How can we optimize this success?

• How do we measure failure of the model? This can be both false positives (i.e., marking a student as in need of high-touch support who is not) and false negatives (i.e., failing to identify a student who really does need high-touch support). How do we compare these types of failure?

• How do we create an ecosystem to improve our model over time? The best way to do this is to hold the score accountable:
  • How do we insert accountability for the score?
  • How transparent will we be about the design of the model with various stakeholders?
  • What feedback loop exists, or should be set up, to gain critical feedback that will help improve the model?

• In any model, there will likely be some errors, or unintended consequences (e.g., students receiving too much, too little, or incorrect support). Start by creating a list of what could go wrong, and then respond to the following questions:
  • How do we track unintended consequences?
  • What level of unintended consequences are we willing to tolerate?
  • What protocol is in place to address these unintended consequences when they arise?

• How ready is your institution to use predictive analytics data in a meaningful and equitable way? Consider what professional development and space for dialogue are required to alleviate concerns and prepare full-time and adjunct faculty, staff, administrators, and students to fully understand the output/score and take action based on it.

Considerations When Deploying a Predictive Technology System

Below is a compilation of questions and items for institutions to address as they embark on considering and deploying a predictive analytics system.

• What is known about the history of the vendor and its performance track record and success in implementing?
• How long has the vendor been in business?
• How many active clients does the vendor currently serve? Given the client list, how many clients are: newly signed, in the design phase, or in early implementation, or are established users who are employing all product features? Consider reaching out to client institutions to hear about their experience. If the institution is in Achieving the Dream (see www.achievingthedream.org/our-network/colleges), contact Mei-Yen Ireland at mireland@achievingthedream.org to request a connection.
• What is the planned growth trajectory for the vendor? How many clients have been added in the past six months, year?
• Given planned growth, does the vendor have sufficient existing capacity?
• What is the client retention rate?
• What is current utilization of the product by the current client base? For example, are most institutions using all features of the product across the institution? Or is the product typically being used by select colleges or units across the institution.
• Can the vendor provide an overview of the product when first developed and launched, and provide a comparison to show the evolution of the system?
• What are the planned system upgrades in the next 30, 60, 90 days, and beyond?
• Is a well-developed template already available that defines elements including the composition of the leadership team, frequency of meetings, and major milestones?
• What process does the vendor use: a dedicated consulting team or dedicated consultant?
• Is there a remediation process for cases in which the client has presented unresolved issues?
• What is the typical timeline from signing the contract to launch?
• What technical support is provided by the vendor during each of the key project phases? This especially includes product launch, and the post-launch period where platform adjustments and modifications are common.

How will the software interface/integrate with other systems that are critical in your student support work?

• Will it integrate with your student information system (SIS)?
• Out-of-the-box integration or a need for scripts to be written to integrate this information? If so, consider time, maintenance, effort, and resources.
• How often can you send SIS updates to the system? And how often is it needed? (This will depend on what the predictive model is reading and doing.)
• Can you force a refresh of the updates more frequently during critical times on campus (for example, registration windows, just prior to drop deadlines)?
• If the system is hosted, can you maintain secure data connections?
• Has the system passed a security scan? If required by campus, can certain data be encrypted?
• How many data sources are possible to integrate into the system? Can the system operate with a limited set and grow over time? Can the institution integrate additional data sources on its own — or will it require vendor changes?
• Which data elements are updated in real time versus in batch? Can different data elements be updated on different schedules?
• Will it integrate/harvest the data from your early alert system and your advising case management system?
• Will it harvest your customer relationship management system?
• What other systems do you have on campus that might have important student information (for example, information from tutoring support systems, swipe card systems, text messaging systems, survey tools)? Can this information be harvested/used in the predictive models?
• Will it integrate/harvest data from the learning management system (LMS) used by faculty? Consider how your institution is using the LMS currently and its plan for the future as well. If your institution captures student success data (such as grades and attendance tracking) in the LMS, then you should consider these data points as critical.
• Will it integrate with other adaptive learning systems your faculty may be using? Consider and explore how you may leverage these systems in your predictive models.

• Can the information from the predictive analysis be displayed/stored in another system that may be the one you use for faculty and staff to do their work? If so, how often will you need to refresh this information? Consider the time and resource investment necessary to integrate the systems.

• Does the system allow for single sign-on? How?

• Does the system have easy-to-navigate student- and staff-facing interfaces?

• As an additional platform, what features are available that will facilitate the work of users? This would include search and filter functionality, and the ability to communicate from the platform.

• What information tracking features are available in the platform that allow follow-up with students, and utilization of the systems by stakeholders?

• Is the system mobile app ready? How important is this feature to your needs?

How is the predictive score, or other output, generated?
• Risk prediction for individual students can be presented at multiple levels. Is there a risk prediction indicator or score? Are there other risk prediction measures that would be easily employed by users to allow the identification of students?

• Can you determine what goes into (or should be considered in) the predictive model for your students?
  • If not, is the vendor willing to share what constitutes their model? Do these inputs align with your own knowledge of what predicts student success? Do any of these aspects have the potential to reinforce existing structural or attitudinal biases?

• Can the model expand to include new data over time? If so, is it institution or vendor driven?

• How often can you refine the model? Can you weight certain data points higher than others?

• Are there methods by which institutions can create watch lists based on data (grades, course progress, etc.) within the system?

What kind of reports are available out of the box with the system?
• Can any user with permission run reports, or only system administrators?

• Can advisors run reports on groups/lists of students? If so, can these be downloaded and printed? Are they easy to run, navigate, and analyze?

• Can reports be customized?

• Can actions/work lists be created based on reports?

• What are the most commonly used reports and why? How are these reports related to informing users and administrators about application of the system strategically?

• Can certain data (financial, for example) be masked/hidden for certain groups?

Time and resource investment required for implementation
• Does the vendor provide a “test system” to deliver training and/or provide quality assurance? For example, can the test system allow training of staff to practice sending messages without really sending out the messages?

• Does the system come with any pre-delivered support and/or training embedded in the software?

• What time and effort are required for implementing the system? What are the promising practices other institutions have used to launch the system?

• What is the time and resource investment related to technical training and holistic professional development for faculty and staff members to use the system and receive sufficient ongoing support? Take into account the multiple layers of training, professional development, and support required for each stakeholder group (full-time and adjunct faculty, support service staff, IR/IT staff, students, etc.).

• Is there a user platform that enables institutions to share promising practices?

• Is the vendor willing to provide benchmarks for utilization of the system that would allow you to assess progress as the system is rolled out?
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